

Civ DJ 843/23

NITIN SHARMA AND ORS Vs. YOGESH SHARMA AND ANR.

01.04.2026

Present: Sh. Hitesh Pandey and Ms. Ankush Bansal, ld. counsels
for plaintiff alongwith plaintiff- Nitin Sharma.

Sh. Naresh Sharma and Ms. Deepa Chaudhary, ld.

Counsels for LR's of defendant no.1.

Sh. Hamid Hussain, ld. proxy counsel for defendant no.2
(VC).

In this matter, two applications have been moved on behalf of plaintiff i.e. one under Order 6 Rule 17 CPC and another under Order 7 Rule 14 CPC. Reply of same have not been filed by the opposite side. Arguments heard. As case is at the initial stage as issues have not been framed so far, no prejudice shall be caused to opposite side if said applications are allowed, so both applications are allowed. Amended plaint has already been filed. Documents filed alongwith application under Order 7 Rule 14 CPC are taken on record.

Perusal of record shows that defendant no.2 has filed written statement alongwith application for condonation of delay. In the interest of justice, delay is condoned and written statement of defendant no.2 is taken on record. Ld. counsel for plaintiff submits that he does not want to file replication to the written statement of defendant no.2. In view of same, matter be put up for filing of affidavit of admission-denial of documents on **10.06.2026**.

Contd/-

-2-

Interim order be continued.

(Dharmender Singh)
District Judge-01(West), THC
Delhi/01.04.2026