

SC No. 27445/2016
State Vs. Mahesh & Ors.
FIR No. 192/2013
PS: Roop Nagar

16.04.2024

Present: Sh. Aditya Trehan, Ld. Addl. PP for the State.
Sh. Manoj Rathi, Ld. Proxy Counsel for accused no. 1
Mahesh with accused no. 1 in person.
Sh. J.S. Mishra, Ld. Legal Aid Counsel for accused no. 2
Rahul Tyagi. (Fresh authority letter is filed)
Ms. Rukhsana, Ld. Proxy Counsel for accused no. 3 Sonu
Tyagi and accused no. 4 Kuldeep @ Pradeep.
Accused no. 4 is present in person.
Accused Sonu Tyagi is produced from Himachal Pradesh
jail.
Accused Rahul Tyagi is not produced from Dasna jail as he
has to be produced in another District Court Ghaziabad.

Accused no. 4 Sonu Tyagi as prayed that on each and every
date he may be allowed to be produced physically which is heard and
declined keeping in view that travelling distance is long and it takes
time to produce the accused physically in the Court. However, video
conferencing hearing is provided to the accused.

Witness ASI Sudesh Kumar has filed application for

Contd/-....

exemption on the ground of ill health through Naib Court prosecution ASI Pradeep Kumar which is heard and allowed for today only.

Let two prosecution witnesses be summoned alongwith ASI Sudesh Kumar for recording of PE on **22.05.2024**. All the accused from J/C be produced by video conferencing on the NDOH.

(JOGINDER PRAKASH NAHAR)
Additional Sessions Judge (FTC-01)
Tis Hazari Court/Delhi/16.04.2024