

M/S JAI MATA DI ENTERPRISES Vs. M/S SOHAN SINGH ANSSONS

29.11.2023

Present: None for the appellant.

Sh. Santosh Kumar, Id. Counsel for R-1.

Ld. Counsel for R-1 submits that the appellant is not pursuing the present appeal since last many dates and prays to the court to dismiss the present appeal due to non-prosecution of the appeal. The summons of R-2 returned unserved with the report that R-2 did not reside at the given address.

Put up at 2:00 P.M.

(SHIV KUMAR)

ADJ-02, West Distt. Tis Hazari Courts
Delhi:29.11.2023

At 2.10 P.M.

Present; Sh. B.M. Rastogi, Id. Counsel for the appellant.

Sh. Santosh Kumar, Id. Counsel for R-1.

As per report of the Process Server, one lady namely Smt. Santosh Dutta met him at the given address and she claimed herself mother of Sh. Sanjeev Dutta.

Ld. Counsel for the appellant has moved an application for substitute service of R-2. Heard. The court is of the view that R-2 is avoiding the service of summon. In view of the same, application is allowed. Appellant is allowed to serve the summons of R-2 by way of publication in daily newspaper “ The Statesman” on deposit of charges directly with the news agency.

Contd. 2

-2-

Summons be also sent through ordinary process on filing of PF/RC.

Ld. Counsel for the appellant submits that he has deposited the cost in Bharat Ke Veer Fund. He is directed to file receipt of the same on record on or before the next date of hearing.

Put up on 13.02.2024 for further proceedings.

(SHIV KUMAR)
ADJ-02, West Distt.Tis Hazari Courts
Delhi:29.11.2023