

**IN THE COURT OF PRINCIPAL DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE
WEST DISTRICT : TIS HAZARI COURTS : DELHI**

**IA/02/2026 in
SC No. 358/2026
FIR No. 59/2026
PS : Ranhola
State v. Preet Singh @ Gurprit Singh
U/s. 326(g)/351(2)/3(5) BNS**

10.08.2026

Present : Sh. Atul K. Shrivastava, Id. Addl. PP for the State.
Sh. Vishwajeet Pandey, Id. counsel for the applicant/accused
Preet Singh @ Gurprit Singh.
IO/ASI Sarjeet Kumar in person.

Reply filed by the IO.

Arguments heard.

ORDER

1. Vide this order, I shall dispose of the application under Section 483 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita (in short, BNSS') filed on behalf of applicant/accused Preet Singh @ Gurprit Singh seeking grant of bail.
2. As per the version of the prosecution, on 20.01.2026, on finding fire in the shop vide GD No. 30A dated 20.01.2026, the IO ASI Sanjeet Kumar along with Ct. Hitesh reached the spot of incident i.e. Balaji Chowk where the complainant Mohd. Afroz Khan met and recorded his statement regarding the fire in his shop and when the complainant checked the CCTV camera installed in his shop, two-three boys were

visible in front of the shop and two boys were seen deliberately setting fire to the shutter by pouring oil-like substance from a bottle. These are the same three boys who came to his shop 10-11 days ago and argued with him and threatened him and their names are Yogender, Akashdeep @ Akki and Preet Singh @ Gurprit Singh. The accused persons were apprehended and interrogated. Both the accused Yogender Kumar and Preet Singh @ Gurprit were still undergoing judicial custody. After completion of investigation, charge sheet was filed in the concerned court.

3. Reply filed by IO reiterating the case of the prosecution and opposing the bail application submitting that if he is released on bail, he may engage in other illegal activities and may threaten the witnesses in as much as the family members of the applicant/accused did not control over him. It is further submitted that the applicant/accused is also involved in other criminal cases, in which, he is in judicial custody.
4. It was submitted by the ld. counsel for the applicant/accused that the accused Preet Singh @ Gurprit Singh was arrested on 21.01.2026 and he has been in judicial custody since then. The applicant/accused has been falsely implicated in the present case and he has no concern with the alleged offence in any manner. The applicant/accused had been doing private job and getting a salary of Rs. 10,000/- per month. The co-accused Yogender Kumar has already been enlarged on bail by this Court vide order dated 04.08.2026. It is further submitted that the

applicant/accused is an innocent person and that the entire life of the applicant/accused will be spoiled in the company of the hardened criminals, if the present bail application is not allowed.

5. The ld. Addl. PP for the State has opposed the grant of bail. He has submitted that the allegations against the applicant/accused are very grave. He has admitted commission of offence and is liable to be punished for the alleged offence. The applicant/accused is thus not entitled to the relief claimed.
6. The applicant/accused is in judicial custody since 21.01.2026 i.e. for the last seven months. No purpose would be served by keeping the accused in custody any longer as investigation has already been completed and no recovery is to be affected from him. This Court does not find any plausible ground to deny the relief as sought herein.
7. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and the co-accused Yogender Kumar has already been granted bail, in the interest of justice, this Court deems it a fit case to grant bail to the accused/applicant Preet Singh @ Gurprit Singh. Accordingly, the application for bail is allowed and the applicant/accused Preet Singh @ Gurprit Singh is admitted to bail, subject to his furnishing personal bond in the sum of Rs.20,000/- with one surety in like amount to the satisfaction of this Court and also subject to the following conditions:

- (a) that the applicant/accused shall attend the trial in accordance with the conditions of the bond executed;
- (b) that the applicant/accused shall not commit an offence similar to the offence of which he is accused, or suspected, of the commission of which he is suspected;
- (c) that the applicant/accused shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer or tamper with the evidence; and
- (d) that the applicant/accused shall inform the change of address, if any, to the Court during the trial.

The application stands disposed of accordingly.

Copy of this order be provided *dasti* to the Id. counsel for the applicant/accused.

Copy of this order be also sent to concerned Jail Superintendent for information.

**Announced in the open Court
on 10th day of August, 2026**

**(Dr. Vijay Kumar Dahiya)
Principal District & Sessions Judge (West)
Tis Hazari/Delhi**