

Civ Dj 624/2025
Jagmohan Singh Vs.
Municipal Corporation Of Delhi & Ors.

28.11.2025

Present: Plaintiff with Ld. Counsels Sh. Anuj Kumar Garg,
 Sh. Pulkit Arora and Ms. Parul Verma.
 Ms. Vasu Singh, Ld. counsel for D-1 (through VC).
 Sh. Prakash, proxy for Sh. Sanjay Sharma and Sh.
 S.G. Asthana, Ld. Counsels for D-2/ DDA.
 Sh. Rajat Sang, Ld. counsel for D-4 (through VC).
 Sh. Sparsh Dhingra, Ld. Co-counsel for D-5
 (through VC).
 None for D-6.

Hard copies of written statement along with certain annexures and an application u/o VIII Rule 1 CPC, filed on behalf of D-4 through e-filing, were furnished. Be taken on record except the written statement.

Ld. Counsel for plaintiff has stated that the plaintiff has not been supplied with copy of the aforementioned material. D-4 to supply the same at the earliest.

Application of the D-4 u/o VIII Rule 1 CPC, for seeking condonation of delay in filing the written statement, is taken up for hearing.

At the outset, Ld. Counsel for the plaintiff fairly conceded that he has no objection if the written statement of the D-4 be taken on record subject to cost.

In view of above submissions and considering the

Contd..2/-

contentions of the application, the written statement is being taken on record subject to cost of Rs.2,500/-, to be paid to the plaintiff. Application stands disposed off accordingly. Cost not paid.

Hard copies of additional documents along with list, filed on behalf of the plaintiff through e-filing, are furnished. However, appropriate application has not been filed in this regard.

Ld. Counsel for the plaintiff intends to file an application and also reply to pending application of D-5 u/o I Rule 10(2) CPC. The same be filed by NDOH with advance copy to the opposite side.

Put up for consideration/ arguments on **09.01.2026**.

(GOPAL SINGH CHAUHAN)
DJ-03, WEST/DELHI
28.11.2025