

CS No. 594/21
CNR DLWT01-006442-2021
HARPRIT SINGH
VS
SUDAN SINGH

27.11.2024

Present: Ms. Niyati, proxy counsel for plaintiff.
None for defendant.

There is some technical glitch in video conferencing.

Record shows that matter is at the stage of arguments on application under Order VII Rule 11 of CPC for the last many dates.

I have heard the submissions made on behalf of plaintiff and perused the averments made in application under Order VII Rule 11 of CPC filed on behalf of defendant. Even though, the defendant has not been heard today due to his absence, bare perusal of the application shows that it is the case for dismissal of the said application. Judicial time must not be wasted and the case must proceed as per law.

In my considered view, no case is made out to reject the plaint as per the averments made in the application filed by defendant. Hence, **application under Order VII Rule 11 of CPC is hereby dismissed.**

Both the parties are directed to file their respective affidavits of admission/denial of documents on or before next date with an advance copy to each other. Parties are directed to appear in person on the next date. Parties to bring their original documents on the next date.

Contd../-

Put up for completion of pleadings, admission/denial and framing of issues on 27.01.2025.

(Goma Dabas Gupta)
District Judge-06
West District, Tis Hazari Courts
Delhi/27.11.2024

at this stage after passing of the order, Sh Rajnish Ranjan, counsel for defendant appeared through v/c. He has been apprised about the abovesaid order and next date of hearing.

(Goma Dabas Gupta)
District Judge-06
West District, Tis Hazari Courts
Delhi/27.11.2024