

PREETI TRIPATHI Vs. RESIDENT DOCTORS ASSOCIATION

26.09.2024

Present: Ms Nidhi Sharma ld. Counsel for the plaintiff
Sh. Ajay Kumar Mishra ld. Counsel for proposed
defendant no. 2.

An application u/o 1 rule 10 CPC has been filed on behalf of proposed defendant no. 2. Copy supplied.

On the last date of hearing, ld. Counsel for the plaintiff has given No Objection for impleading proposed defendant no. 2 as party in the present case. The proposed defendant no. 2 is a necessary party in the present case the cafeteria in dispute is being run in Deen Dayal Upadhaya Hospital. Moreover, the proposed defendant no. 2 was also earlier made as party by the plaintiff in his suit.

In view of the above said fact, application u/o 1 rule 10 CPC stands allowed. Deen Dayal Upadhaya Hospital is made defendant no. 2 in the present case. Amended memo of parties be filed within 14 days by the plaintiff.

Defendant no. 2 is allowed to file written statement within 30 days with advance copy to ld. Counsel fo the plaintiff.

Put up for completion of pleadings on 14.01.2025.

(SHIV KUMAR)
District Judge-02, Court no.127,
West Distt, Tis Hazari courts
Delhi:26.09.2024.