

## SMT KAMLESH BHATIA Vs. SMT RUBY CHATRATH

23.01.2024

Present: Sh. Anil Kumar Singh, Id. Counsel for the plaintiff.

Sh. Sandeep Thukral, Id. Counsel for the defendant no. 1

Rejoinder to the reply of the plaintiff has been filed on behalf of defendant no. 1, copy supplied to Id. Counsel for the plaintiff.

On the last date of hearing, Id. Counsel for D-1 has stated that D-2 is a minor child but no age document of the minor has been filed by defendant no. 1. Id. Counsel for D-1 is directed to file age document of D-2.

Id. Counsel for the parties seek time to file affidavit in respect of A/D of documents.

Arguments heard on application u/o 39 rule 1 & 2 CPC moved on behalf of plaintiff as well as defendant no. 1.

Both parties are directed to appear in person and it is made clear to both parties that if parties do not appear on the next date of hearing then the court will proceed ex-parte against the absent party.

Put up on 27.01.2024 for appearance of parties.

(SHIV KUMAR)

ADJ-02, West Distt. Tis Hazari Courts  
Delhi: 23.01.2024.