

**IN THE COURT OF PRINCIPAL DISTRICT & SESSIONS
JUDGE : WEST DISTRICT : TIS HAZARI COURTS : DELHI**

SC No. 313/2026

State Vs. Armaan @ Munna & Ors.

(Bail Application Of Applicant / Accused Santosh)

FIR No. 86/2026

PS Nangloi

CNR No. DLWT01-005977-2026

08.06.2026

ORDER

1. Vide this order, the court shall decide the third application under Section 483 BNSS filed on behalf of the applicant/accused Santosh for grant of regular bail.

2. It is submitted by Ld. Counsel for the applicant / accused that the accused is innocent and has been falsely implicated in the present case. The applicant/accused is 18 years old. He was studying in 12th class in Govt. Senior Secondary School. He belongs to a good family and has clean antecedents. He has strong roots in the society and there are no chances of his absconding from the process of law. It is further submitted that the complainant in collusion with the police has falsely implicated the applicant / accused in order to destroy his student life and reputation in society. Due to this, the life of his family has been devastated.

3. It is further submitted that the second bail application of the applicant / accused was dismissed by the Ld. ASJ, FTC, West, THC on 08.05.2026. The applicant / accused was granted interim bail from 10.03.2026 to 05.04.2026 for appearing in the 12th board examinations vide order dated 10.03.2026 passed by the Ld. ASJ (FTSC), RC-01, West, THC, Delhi.
4. It is further submitted that the accused was illegally detained in custody by the police from 24.02.2026 to 28.02.2026 without being produced before the court, which is in violation of due process of law. The CCTV footage of the concerned Police Station is evidence of this fact. The mother of the applicant / accused visited the police station several times, but the police officials did not provide her the CCTV footage to prove the wrong detention of the applicant / accused by the police. It is further submitted that perusal of the charge-sheet shows that the alleged incident took place on 24.02.2026, however the police arrested the applicant / accused on 27.02.2026 and he was produced before the Court on 28.02.2026. The police did not mention any kind of CCTV footage of the alleged incident or nearby area.
5. It is further submitted that the applicant/ accused is a bright student and has secured 57% marks in his class 10th examinations. He has no past criminal record. The family of the applicant / accused is well educated and none of the family member has any criminal record. If bail is granted, the sister of the applicant who is

employed with the Health Department will furnish the bail bond. The applicant / accused has no apprehension of absconding. At the time of the interim bail, the applicant / accused complied with all the conditions of the interim bail, neither made any attempt to meet the complainant nor did he try to tamper with any evidence.

6. It is further stated that the investigating officer did not conduct the investigation properly and falsely implicated him in the present case. Applicant / accused was produced before Juvenile Court on 28.02.2026 and was kept there until 05.03.2026. It has further been submitted that the police officials were demanding Rs.1,00,000/- from the applicant and threatened to implicate him in a false case. A written complaint against those police officials has already been filed by the mother of the applicant to the seniors police officials. Accordingly, prayed is made for grant of bail.

7. IO has filed reply to the bail application, wherein it has been submitted that the accused has committed a serious offence. It is submitted that accused alongwith four other persons abused and threatened the victim over a previous dispute. They attacked the victim with a knife and she was saved by her husband. She has received grievous injuries. It has been submitted that the victim and accused persons are residing in the same locality. It is further submitted that victim identified all the three accused persons including applicant / accused involved in the incident. There is a

strong prima facie case against the applicant / accused; applicant / accused has actively participated in the crime, offence is grave and serious in nature as the victim was attacked with the deadly weapon and applicant / accused may influence, intimidate or win over the material witnesses if released on bail. Hence, prayer is made for dismissal of the bail.

8. During the course of arguments, it has been stated by the IO that during the proceedings of the second bail application, the complainant had appeared in the Court and stated that she has been continuously receiving threats from the family of the accused persons and she is apprehending for her and her husband's lives.
9. Ld. Additional PP for State has vehemently opposed this bail application on the ground that the applicant / accused Santosh is alleged to have committed a serious offence and if he is granted bail, he may hamper the investigation or try to influence the victim as well as witnesses.
10. I have heard the submissions made by Ld. Additional PP for State and Learned counsel for accused and perused the record carefully.
11. It is a well settled law that while deciding a bail application, a court should take note of the factors i.e. the nature and

seriousness of the offence; quantum of sentence with respect to the said offence; the character of evidence; previous antecedents of the accused; a reasonable apprehension of witnesses/evidence being tampered with and the apprehension that the accused may flee from justice.

12. Perusal of the statement of the victim shows that the applicant / accused has actively participated in the commission of crime and he was also identified by the complainant. The accused persons and the victim are residing in the same locality and there are chances that applicant / accused may influence the family of the victim and prosecution witnesses.

13. Keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the case, the fact that the matter is still at the initial stage i.e. at the stage of consideration on charge, the material witnesses are yet to be examined, the gravity of offence and nature of serious allegations levelled against the applicant / accused, this Court is of the considered opinion that no ground for regular bail of the applicant / accused Santosh is made out at this stage. Accordingly, the present application for regular bail of the applicant / accused Santosh is dismissed.

14. Nothing stated herein shall tantamount to be an expression of opinion on the merits of the present case and the observations made in the present order are only for the purpose of deciding the

present bail application.

15. Copy of this order be sent to the concerned Jail Superintendent for information. Copy of this order be also sent to the accused through concerned Jail Superintendent. Copy of this order be given dasti to counsel for the accused, if prayed for.
16. In terms of directions passed by the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi, cover-sheet mentioning the contact address and phone number of the legal services authority/ committee be appended with the copy of this order informing the accused about the availability of the free legal aid facilities for pursuing higher remedies. Order be uploaded on the website of the Delhi District Court.
17. Application stands disposed of accordingly.

**Announced in the open Court
on 08th day of June, 2026.**

**(DR. VIJAY KUMAR DAHIYA)
Principal District & Sessions Judge (West)
Tis Hazari Courts : Delhi**