

CIV DJ        1037/2025  
Sarbjit Jolly Vs.  
Shalini Jolly

10.03.2026

Present:        Sh. Ravi Garg, Ld. counsel for plaintiff.  
Defendant (practicing advocate) (through VC).

Ld. Counsel for plaintiff has undertaken to file Vakalatnama during the course of the day.

Defendant has stated that copy of plaint and its annexures has not been served upon her and has requested that the plaintiff side be directed to provide the same to her. This plea has been opposed by Ld. Counsel for the plaintiff stating that the defendant has been served with copy of the same via different modes and that her intention is just to delay the proceedings.

It is observed that the defendant was deemed to have been served on 24.01.2026. She could have approached this Court earlier and waiting for date fixed is not justified. In order to avoid any prejudice to her she is at liberty to collect copy of the plaint and its annexures from the Court, spare copy of which is available in the judicial file. However, this shall not extend the time prescribed for filing of written statement.

Ld. Counsel for the plaintiff has pressed interim application for seeking directions to the defendant to pay/ deposit monthly occupation charges, however, after realizing that the same has not been filed under relevant provision, he has sought liberty to file another application under appropriate provision. He is at liberty to do so.

Put up for consideration on 30.03.2026.

(GOPAL SINGH CHAUHAN)  
DJ-03, WEST/DELHI  
10.03.2026