

New SC No. 365/17
State Vs. Prabhu Singh & Ors.
FIR no. 233/17
PS : Ranhola

01.09.2017

Present : Ld. Addl. PP Sh. Ajit Kumar Srivastava for the State.
All the four accused are present with counsel Sh. Kundan
Kumar.

Separate bail applications have been moved on behalf of all the four accused persons. It is argued by the Ld. Counsel for applicants / accused persons that all the accused persons have been falsely implicated in the present case. It is submitted that all the four accused persons have joined the investigation and cooperate in the investigation. It is submitted that the charge sheet has been filed without arresting the accused persons as there was no apprehension that accused will abscond from the trial.

On the other hand, it is argued on behalf of State by Ld. Addl. PP for State that allegations against the applicants / accused persons are of serious nature. Hence, bail should not be granted to the accused persons.

Having given consideration to the submissions being made by the Counsel for applicants / accused and Ld. Addl. PP for State. All the four accused persons have joined the investigation. The investigation has been completed. Charge sheet has been filed without arresting the accused persons. Accused persons have appeared on summons at the first instance.

In the facts and circumstances of the case all the four applicants/accused persons are admitted to bail on furnishing a bail bond in the sum of Rs. 10,000/- each with one surety of like amount.

Bail bond furnished. Let copy of charge sheet be supplied to all accused persons. It is submitted that additional copies for accused persons are not on record. Let notice be issued to the IO concerned.

Be put up on 22.09.2017.

(Jagdish Kumar)
ASJ-02, West/THC/Delhi
01.09.2017