

23.10.2024

Present: Sh. Kuljeet Rawar, Ld counsel for the petitioner through VC.
Sh. Aditya Joshi, Ld counsel for the petitioner in person.
Sh. Narender Kumar, Ld proxy counsel for R-2 to R-4.
Sh. Dev Gautam, ld Local Commissioner.

Ld proxy counsel for respondents seeks an adjournment for making submissions on the application filed under order 22 rule 3 CPC, on the ground that the main counsel is not well.

On the last date also, adjournment was taken by ld counsel for the respondents for filing reply on the above-said application.

Ld counsel for the petitioner submits that adjournment may not be granted to the respondents to file the reply as ld counsel for the respondents has already taken two dates for filing reply.

Last opportunity is given to the respondents for filing the reply and addressing arguments on the said application, failing which the application will be decided, as per averments mentioned in the application.

Put up for consideration of said application on **19.12.2024**.

At this stage, Ld counsel for petitioner again insists the court not to grant date to Ld counsel for the respondents for filing reply. Request declined. Respondents are allowed to file reply of abovesaid application.

(SHIV KUMAR)
District Judge-02, Court no.127,
West Distt, Tis Hazari courts
Delhi:23.10.2024