

**IN THE COURT OF PRINCIPAL DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE  
WEST DISTRICT : TIS HAZARI COURTS : DELHI**

**SC No. 191/2025**

**State v. Aman @ Devender & Anr.**

**FIR No. 158/2025**

**PS : Nihal Vihar**

**CNR No. DLWT01-004160-2025**

**ORDER**

1. Vide this order, I shall dispose of the application under Section 483 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (for brevity, 'BNSS') for grant of regular bail filed on behalf of the applicant / accused Charanjeet Singh @ Kake.
  
2. As per the version of the prosecution, on 04.02.2025, at about 3.00 a.m. in front of Shagun Palace, Nangloi, Najafgarh Road, Near Vishal Garden Chowk, Delhi, the accused persons, namely Aman @ Devender and Charanjeet Singh @ Kake robbed Rs. 3,000/- and mobile phone make OPPO A3 Pro containing two SIM cards from the complainant Aman Barar, forcibly from his pocket and also forcibly obtained the password of the PayPe and took away Rs.1960/- from the complainant and thereafter, the accused persons fled away along with Ertiga Car bearing registration No. UP-80-HT-2138 of the complainant, after dropping the complainant at a lonely place and thus, they committed offences punishable under Sections 309(4)/3(5) of the BNS. The accused persons used deadly weapons i.e. paper cutter (knife) was

used by the accused Aman @ Devender and a pair of scissors was used by the accused Charanjeet Singh @ Kake. Accordingly, they have also been charged with the offence punishable under Section 311 of the BNS.

3. In the application for bail filed on behalf of the applicant/accused, it is stated that that the applicant/accused was arrested by the police on 14.02.2025 and since then, the applicant/accused is in judicial custody. It is further stated that the applicant/accused has already settled the matter with the complainant for an amount of Rs.50,000/-, out of the which, Rs.30,000/- has already been paid to the complainant. It is further stated that the applicant/accused was arrested in another FIR No. 84/2025 PS. Chhawla and that he was not present at the time of occurrence at the spot. He has been falsely implicated and he has nothing to do at all with the alleged offences. The investigation of this case has already been completed, applicant/accused is no more required for any further investigation purpose and hence, no fruitful purpose will be served by keeping him in judicial custody. It is further stated that nothing material has been recovered from the possession of the applicant/accused or at his instance and the recovery, if any, has been planted upon him by the police officials. The applicant/accused does not have any previous criminal antecedents.

**3.1** It is further averred in the bail application that the

applicant/accused is the only bread earner of his family and is having a minor child. There is no other source of income of his family, except the income of the applicant/accused who is in judicial custody in this case. There is no apprehension of the applicant/accused absconding or tampering with the prosecution evidence, if he is released on bail.

4. The Investigating Officer has filed reply opposing the bail application, submitting that as per the facts in the charge sheet, the applicant/accused has committed a heinous crime of robbery and car-jacking in a violent and pre-planned manner with the co-accused. The two accused persons, namely Aman @ Devender and Charanjeet Singh @ Kake have been arrested in FIR No. 82/25, under Sections 309(4)/3(5) of the BNS, and during interrogation, both accused disclosed their involvement in the present robbery incident committed in the jurisdiction of PS. Nihal Vihar on the intervening night of 03/04.02.2025. The accused persons have been identified in the TIP by the complainant and also recovery of the weapon of offence and stolen property has been effected at the instance of the accused persons.

**4.1** The applicant/accused is not a first-time offender as he was already arrested in FIR No. 82/25, PS Chhawla, involving offences of a similar nature. This clearly demonstrates that the accused is a habitual offender and poses a serious threat to public safety. There exists a real and imminent possibility of the accused influencing, threatening or

intimidating the complainant and other public witnesses, if released on bail. The witnesses are ordinary citizens and highly vulnerable. The applicant/accused is a resident of Haryana and does not have strong roots within the jurisdiction of this Court. Hence, there is a serious likelihood of absconding if he is enlarged on bail.

5. Arguments were addressed by Mr. Mohd. Iliyas, Ld. Counsel for the applicant/accused and Mr. Atul K. Shrivastava, Ld. Addl. PP for the State.
  
6. Considering the nature and gravity of the charge and the role of the applicant/accused; moreover bearing in mind that the main witness i.e. the complainant/injured, who is the prime witness is yet to be examined as a prosecution witness in the case; the accused has another criminal case against him which shows that he does not have clean antecedents and; also there is grave apprehension that the applicant/accused will threaten or influence the prime witness/complainant, this Court is of the opinion that this is not a fit case for grant of bail. Accordingly, the application for bail filed by the applicant/accused Charanjeet Singh @ Kake is dismissed.

**Announced in the open Court  
on 11<sup>th</sup> day of February, 2026**

**(DR. ADITI CHOUDHARY)**  
**Principal District & Sessions Judge (West)**  
**Tis Hazari Courts: Delhi**