

CS (COMM) 493/2025

Canara Bank Vs. Ranjan Sen

20.03.2026 (At 11.52 AM)

Present: Sh Rohit Kumar, AR of the plaintiff bank through VC.
Defendant in person.

Defendant has been served through physical mode on 23.02.2026.

Defendant has filed self attested copy of his Aadhar Card mentioning his present address and is ready to look for a settlement. Sh Sushil Rai, Ld. Counsel for plaintiff appeared through VC in the morning and he was given a message to atleast send the AR to the court so that the relevant form can be filled up to refer the matter to mediation. Matter was passed over.

At this call AR has appeared through VC and though Mr. Rai claims to be appearing through VC but his video is not appearing. For want of any authorised person on behalf of plaintiff bank the requisite form cannot be filled today so as to refer this matter to mediation. This warning is recorded for the plaintiff that appearance through VC is obviously allowed but when the message is given for physical appearance then they are duty bound to appear physically. Even for the VC appearance the audio and video must be consistent throughout the

hearing. On next date of hearing AR shall appear physically before the court.

Put up on **07.04.2026**.

(Pulastya Pramachala)
District Judge (Commercial Court-01),
Patiala House Courts Complex,
New Delhi/20.03.2026