

**IN THE COURT OF SH. KAMALDEEP SINGH,  
ADDITIONAL SESSIONS JUDGE, PATIALA  
UID NO. (PB0270)**

CNR No. PBPT01-000104-2026  
CIS No. BA-28-2026  
Date of Order: 08.01.2026

Bhim Singh @ Bobby aged about 36 years son of Ram Krishan Singh,  
resident of village Kalvanu, P.S.Ghagga, District Patiala.

..... Applicant

VERSUS

- 1.State of Punjab,
2. SHO of P.S.Ghagga, District Patiala,
3. Incharge, P.P.Badshahpur.

.... Respondents

FIR No. Nil dated Nil  
U/s Nil  
P.S.Ghagga, P.P.Badshahpur

**1st BAIL APPLICATION U/S 482 BNSS**

Present: Sh.K.K.Murari Advocate for applicant.  
Sh. Harpreet Singh, APP for the State.

**ORDER**

1. Record received. Arguments heard.
2. Learned counsel for the applicant has argued that applicant is innocent and has not committed any offence, but concerned official of P.S.Ghagga/ P.P.Badshahpur is conducting raids at the house of applicant and threatening to arrest the applicant in false non-bailable case. Learned counsel further submitted that if the applicant is arrested in false non-

bailable case, then applicant shall suffer irreparable loss of his respect and reputation. Therefore, applicant is entitled to anticipatory bail and learned counsel prayed that the bail application may kindly be allowed.

3. On the other hand, learned APP for the State has argued that applicant was nominated in the present case on 17.11.2025 and he is still at large and except the present FIR, one another case under Section 61 of Excise Act was registered against the applicant at P.S.Ghagga and statement of ASI Buta Singh recorded in the Court, as per which, accused/ applicant was nominated in the present case on 17.11.2025 and he is still at large and report of FSL has not been received till date and except the present case, one another FIR No.97 dated 18.09.2025, under Section 61 of Excise Act, P.S.Ghagga has been registered against the applicant. Therefore, learned APP for the State submitted that concerned police official of P.S.Ghagga has no intention to arrest the applicant in any false case. Therefore, learned APP for the State prayed for dismissal of the bail application.

4. After hearing the arguments of learned counsel for the applicant and learned APP for the State and after perusing the record and statement of ASI Buta Singh, this Court is of the considered view that applicant has miserably failed to prove his prima-facie apprehension in false non bailable case by concerned official of P.S.Ghagga, as no document has been produced by applicant to prove his apprehension and moreover and as per statement of ASI Buta Singh recorded in the Court, as per which, accused/ applicant was nominated in the present case on 17.11.2025 and he is still at large and report of FSL has not been

received till date and except the present case, one another FIR No.97 dated 18.09.2025, under Section 61 of Excise Act, P.S.Ghagga has been registered against the applicant. Therefore, applicant has miserably failed to prove his prima-facie false apprehension at this stage from concerned official of P.S.Ghagga. Accordingly, I do not agree with the arguments and contentions raised by the learned counsel for the applicant and I find no force in the same. Therefore, the present pre-arrest bail application moved by applicant Bhim Singh @ Bobby stands dismissed.

5. Police record be returned under rules against proper receipt.  
Bail application file be consigned to the Record Room.

Pronounced: 08.01.2026.  
Manoj Sharma, Stenographer II

(Kamaldeep Singh Dhaliwal)  
Additional Sessions Judge,  
Patiala/UID No.(PB0270)

