

Civ DJ No. 93/20
Dr. Ravi Kant Guglani & Ors. Vs Dalip Kumar Sethi

05.01.2022

Proceedings have been held by way of video conferencing with the help of CISCO Webex Meeting App.

Present: Mr. Fanish K. Jain, counsel for plaintiff.

Ms Sonika Tyagi, proxy counsel for Mr. Sajid Malik, counsel for defendant.

The defendant filed written statement, reply to the application of plaintiff under order 39 rule 1 & 2 and application for condonation of delay in filing written statement. Mr. Fanish submitted that he has already received those pleadings, application and reply.

The matter is fixed for reply/arguments on the application of the plaintiff under order 8 rule 10 CPC but counsel for defendant submitted that she has still not received copy of that application. That copy has been sent to her through e-mode today itself.

Put up on 25.03.2022 for reply/arguments on two applications i.e. under order 8 rule 1 CPC and under order 8 rule 10 CPC. Interim order, if any, to continue till next date.

(Umed Singh Grewal)
ADJ-02, WEST
05.01.2022