

Subhash Chand Gupta Vs. Ram Gopal  
RCA No. 38/18

15.10.2018

Present: Counsel for appellants.

Respondents no. 1 (c) & 1 (e) in person, who are also representing respondents no. 1 (a), 1 (b), 1 (f) and 1 (g).

Respondent no. 1 (d) is unserved (**respondents no. 1 (c) & 1 (e) submit that they are not representing respondent no. 1 (d).**

Son namely Anil Gupta of respondent no. 6 in person.

None for respondents, no. 3, 5 & 7. (They are already served).

Notice of appeal sent to the respondent no. 4 received back with the report of "in-complete address" on the RC and not residing on the given address on the ordinary process. Appellant is directed to furnish fresh address of the respondent no. 4 within a month from today, thereafter, **issue notice of the appeal to the respondent no. 4 on filing of PF/RC for next date.**

Notice of appeal sent to the respondent no. 1 (d) Satbir Singh received back with the report of "LEFT". Respondents no. 1 (c) and 1 (e) are real brothers of respondent no. 1 (d). They have furnished fresh address of respondent no. 1 (d) Satbir Singh @ Satish Kashyap which is as follows:

***"C/o Sh. Pavnesh Kumar***

***WZ-1020, P-3, Om Vihar,***

***Uttam Nagar, New Delhi- 110 018".***

Let notice of appeal be sent to the respondent no. 1 (d) on filing of PF/RC, for 07.01.2019.

(Charu Aggarwal)  
ADJ-03/West Distt.  
THC/Delhi-15.10.2018