

Bail Matters 418/2026
M 122/2026
STATE Vs. RAMAN DEEP SINGH
FIR no. 77/2026
PS Moti Nagar
u/s 376/354A/354 IPC

20.03.2026

Pr: Sh. Subhash Chauhan, Ld. Chief PP for the State.
Ld. Counsel for the applicant/accused.
IO SI Lovleen with case file.
Complainant in person

File perused. Heard.

This is an application u/s 482 of BNSS filed on behalf of the applicant/accused for grant of anticipatory bail.

Reply to the present application already filed. Copy already supplied. Reply to the miscellaneous application M 1022/2026 also filed. Copy supplied.

Arguments heard. Clarifications sought. Case file gone through.

Ld. Counsel submits that accused/applicant is innocent and has been falsely implicated in the present case by the complainant only due to personal dispute and misunderstanding arising out of relationship between them. It is further submitted that the allegations in the present FIR are false and bogus & same are without any basis. It is further submitted that the applicant is not involved in any other criminal case. It is contended that in her complaint, complainant has admitted that

Contd....

she is in relationship with the applicant from the year 2020 and the applicant wants to marry the prosecutrix even on the date of lodging of FIR. It is further contended that as per the FIR there was a consensual relationship between two adults and there was no alleged incident of forcible sexual assault. It is further contended that the every breach of promise to marry does not amount to rape. It is further submitted that there is substantial delay in lodging the FIR as the relationship between the applicant and the complainant was continuing for several years. Ld. Counsel further contends that the dispute started between the complainant and applicant only when their relationship came into the knowledge of family members of the prosecutrix. It is further contended that thereafter the family members of the prosecutrix exerted substantial pressure upon the complainant to proceed criminal proceeding against the applicant. It is further submitted that nothing is to be recovered from the applicant & the custodial interrogation is not required for any purpose and he is ready & willing to join the investigation as per the requirement of the IO. With these submissions prayer is made for grant of anticipatory bail.

On the other hand, Ld. Chief PP for the state as well as the complainant have strongly opposed the present application on the ground that the allegations in present case are serious in nature. Ld. Chief PP as well as complainant submits that the applicant

Contd....

was already married man with children and induced and influenced the consent of the victim by showing her divorce papers and promised her to marry. Ld. Chief PP submits that the alleged promise of the accused/applicant for marriage with complainant was false from very beginning as accused never intended to marry the complainant. The complainant has categorically denied the allegation of pressure by her family members. With these submissions, Ld. Chief PP as well as complainant prays for the dismissal of present bail application.

Present case was registered on the version of the complainant given to the police, wherein she alleged that accused is known to her as a neighbour and they started conversation with each other during COVID period. It is alleged that the applicant/accused asked her about for chatting, sexting, etc. on Mewe App. and continued their relationship as such for around three years. It is further alleged that applicant/accused made to believe the complainant that he has no relationship with his wife and is in process of taking divorce from his wife. It is pertinent to mention here that applicant/accused has also shown his divorce papers duly signed by him to the applicant. It is further alleged that after two years applicant/accused has taken the complainant in a renting house in New Moti Nagar, Delhi and made physical relations with her on pretext of false promise to marry her. However, after some time complainant came to know that the

Contd.....

wife of the applicant/accused is pregnant, upon which she confronted the accused/applicant. It is further alleged that the accused again assured her that he is living with her wife under compulsion and reiterated his promise to marry the complainant and the complainant again believed him. It is pertinent to mention here that the applicant/accused also made videography of act of making physical relationship with the complainant and same is transferred by accused/applicant by his phone to his laptop. It is further relevant to mention here that one another complaint was made by the complainant against the accused pertaining to the present offence in another police station and upon request of accused/applicant for grant of sometime to resolve the issue, the said complaint was withdrawn by the complainant. However, later on the accused/applicant has threatened the complainant for the misuse of the abovesaid obscene videos available with him.

It is relevant to mention here that the complainant has reiterated her allegations in her statement u/s 183 BNSS recorded before the concerned Ld. Magistrate and explained all the relevant aspects pertaining to present case. The allegations in the present case are under section 376 IPC and same are serious & heinous in nature. The investigation of the present case is at very initial stage. As per the submissions of the IO, custodial interrogation of the applicant/ accused is necessary and essential

Contd....

to recover the mobile phone of the applicant/accused from which he had made the alleged obscene video as well as the laptop of the accused/applicant to which the alleged obscene video was transferred.

The allegations against the accused/applicant are serious in nature and there are apprehension that in case applicant/accused is enlarged on bail, there are every chances of his fleeing from course of justice, tamper evidence or may coerce/influence witnesses of the case. Hence, considering the conduct of the applicant/accused and keeping in view facts & seriousness of the allegations against the applicant/accused, no ground is made out for grant of anticipatory bail to the accused, at this stage. Hence, the present anticipatory application is dismissed being devoid of merit. Accordingly, another miscellaneous application also stands disposed off.

Nothing discussed herein-above shall have any bearing upon the merits of the case.

Copy of the order be given dasti, as prayed for

(SATVIR SINGH LAMBA)
ASJ/SPECIAL JUDGE (NDPS),
WEST DISTRICT, DELHI/20.03.2026