

21.05.2025

**VC is not working due to technical fault and complaint in this regard has already been made.**

Present: Sh. Anik Shrivastav, Ld counsel for the petitioner.  
Sh. Nitish Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent no. 2 along with R-2.  
Sh. Deepak Sharma, Ld proxy counsel for R-5 along with R-5.

Matter received back unsettled from the Mediation cell.

Fresh Vakaltname has been filed on behalf of R-5. Ld counsel for R-5 undertakes to file the same on the e-portal.

Ld counsel for the R-5 submits that the previous advocate has not apprised anything to respondent no. 5 and due to said reason, cost of Rs. 25,000/- could not be paid to the petitioner.

As per order dated 24.04.2023, the objections of R-5 has been taken off the record.

At this stage, the objections of R-5 are not on record. So. Respondent no. 5 has limited right to cross examine PW-2. Ld proxy counsel for R-5 seeks an adjournment for cross examination of PW-2, on the ground that main counsel is not available today.

No availability of main counsel is not a just ground to defer the cross examination, so, request is declined.

PW-2 has been further cross examined on behalf of respondent no. 2 and cross examination on behalf of respondent no. 5 is NIL, despite giving opportunity.

Petitioner submits that he does not wish to examine any further witness in the present case and PE may be closed.

In view of the submissions of the petitioner, PE stands closed.

Put up for RE on **20.08.2025**.

Ld counsel for the respondent is directed to supply advance copy of affidavit of witnesses to be examined on the NDOH, to Ld counsel for petitioner at least 10 days prior to the next date of hearing.

(SHIV KUMAR)  
DJ-02 (W)THC:Delhi  
21.05.2025