

CNR: DLWT01-002302-2022

CS No. 226/22

Dinesh Kumar vs Ravi Kumar and ors.

03.01.2024

Present: Sh. Kishore Kumar, Ld Counsel for plaintiff with
plaintiff.
None for defendants.

Defendants be awaited for 11.00 a.m.

(Mukesh Kumar)

Additional District Judge-06
West District, Tis Hazari Courts
03.01.2024

At 11.00 a.m

Present: Sh Kishore Kumar, Ld Counsel for plaintiff with
plaintiff in person.
Ms Reena Singh, Ld Counsel for defendant no.7 and
also proxy counsel for defendant no.1 to 6

Perusal of the record reveals that vide order dated 30.10.2023 ld counsel for defendant no.7 has made statement that she will adopt the WS as filed on behalf of defendant no.1 to 6 which was filed on 06.08.2022. Replication to WS is yet to be filed by the plaintiff.

As submitted WS on behalf of defendant no.7 could not be filed as some settlement talks were going on between the defendants themselves but no settlement arrived in between them and therefore, WS could not be filed within time. Statement of ld counsel for defendant no.7 already recorded.

Contd../-

In my considered opinion, no prejudice will be caused to the plaintiff in any manner, accordingly, WS already filed on behalf of defendant no.1 to 6 as filed on 06.08.2022 is considered as WS on behalf of all defendants and same is taken on record.

Replication to WS of defendants, if any, be filed with an advance copy to the opposite side.

Both the parties are directed to file affidavit regarding admission and denial of documents on or before next date with an advance copy to each other. Parties to bring their original documents on the next date of hearing.

To come up for replication, admission and denial of documents and for framing of issues on **04.03.2024**.

(Mukesh Kumar)
Additional District Judge-06
West District, Tis Hazari Courts
03.01.2024