

-1-

Civ DJ No.10519/16

Mridula Parti & Anr. Vs Sushma Parti & Anr.

11.03.2024

Present: Sh. Rohit Goel, Ld. Counsel for the plaintiffs.
SPA of the plaintiff no.2 in person.
Ms. Ridhima Mohanty, Ld. Proxy Counsel for the
defendant no.1.
Sh. Mohit Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the defendant no.3.

Pass over sought on behalf of the plaintiff on the
ground that plaintiff no.1/PW-1 is on her way.

Be awaited till 11:30 AM.

(VRINDA KUMARI)
ADJ-07(W)/TIS HAZARI COURTS
DELHI/11.03.2024(k)

At 11:45 AM

Present : Plaintiff no.1/PW-1 in person.
Sh. Rohit Goel, Ld. Counsel for the plaintiffs.
SPA of the plaintiff no.2 in person.
Ms. Ridhima Mohanty, Ld. Proxy Counsel for the
defendant no.1.
Ms. Deepti Dogra, Ld. Counsel for the defendant no.2.
None for the defendant no.3.

Heard. Record perused.

Vide Order dated 01.12.2022, two applications of the
plaintiffs were allowed subject to cost of Rs 5000/- each. Today,

Contd....

part payment of Rs 5000/- has been made by the plaintiffs to Ld. Proxy Counsel for the defendant no.1, who has accept the same on behalf of the defendant no.1.

Let balance cost of Rs 5000/- be paid to the defendant no.1 on or before the NDOH.

At this stage, it has been submitted that the defendant no.2 has already cross-examined the plaintiff no.1/PW-1 on 18.08.2023. Ld. Counsel for the defendant no.2 submits that inadvertently, she has been mentioned as Ld. Counsel for the defendant no.3 in the said cross-examination.

Heard. Record perused.

It is directed that phrase ‘defendant no.3’ at point X in cross-examination dated 18.18.2023 be read as ‘defendant no.2’. The typographical error stands corrected.

The defendant no.1 is directed to state her current address on the NDOH.

Be awaited till 12:30 PM.

**(VRINDA KUMARI)
ADJ-07(W)/TIS HAZARI COURTS
DELHI/11.03.2024(k)
Contd...**

At 12:30 PM

Present : Plaintiff no.1/PW-1 in person.

Sh. Rohit Goel, Ld. Counsel for the plaintiffs.

SPA of the plaintiff no.2 in person.

Ms. Ridhima Mohanty, Ld. Proxy Counsel for the defendant no.1.

Ms. Deepti Dogra, Ld. Counsel for the defendant no.2.

None for the defendant no.3.

Heard. Record perused.

Despite waiting and repeated calls, Ld. Counsel for the defendant no.3 has not appeared after appearing once in the morning today. Ld. Counsel for the defendant no.3 has also not joined the proceedings through Cisco Webex video conferencing either.

The cross-examination of plaintiff no.1/PW-1 on behalf of the defendant no.3 is, therefore, Nil. Opportunity given.

Now to come up for furnishing of fresh/current address of the defendant no.1, payment of remaining cost of Rs 5000/- by the plaintiffs to the defendant no.1 and for remaining PE on **13.05.2024.**

(VRINDA KUMARI)
ADJ-07(W)/TIS HAZARI COURTS
DELHI/11.03.2024(k)