

**8 Civ DJ 12/2016 11460/16 RAMAN VERMA Vs. SATYA DEV
VERMA**

(IA No.1/2026)

22.07.2026

Present Shri Ankur Jain, Ld. Counsel for plaintiff.

Shri Sumesh Gandhi, Ld. Counsel for defendant no.1 to 9.

Sh. Lovkesh Chawla, Ld. Counsel for defendant no.28 and
29.

None for remaining defendants.

Vakalatnama on behalf of the defendants whose Counsels are
present is not on record, the same be filed by Ld Counsel for defendants
no.1 to 9, 28, 29 / their LR's within three days from today.

Matter is passover for the presence of remaining defendants.

**SUSHEEL BALA DAGAR
DISTRICT JUDGE-08
WEST/DELHI/22.07.2026**

At 11.50 am

Present Shri Ankur Jain, Ld. Counsel for plaintiff.

Defendant no.30 Rajesh Verma in person.

Matter is passover for the presence of remaining defendants.

**SUSHEEL BALA DAGAR
DISTRICT JUDGE-08
WEST/DELHI/22.07.2026**

At 12.30 pm

Present None.

Matter is passover for the presence of remaining defendants.

**SUSHEEL BALA DAGAR
DISTRICT JUDGE-08
WEST/DELHI/22.07.2026**

contd...2

At 2.00 pm

Present Shri Ankur Jain, Ld. Counsel for plaintiff.
 Shri Sumesh Gandhi, Ld. Counsel for defendant no.1 to 9.
 Sh. Lovkesh Chawla, Ld. Counsel for defendant no.28 and
29.

None for remaining defendants.

Despite repeated calls neither defendant no.10 to 27 and 31 to 33 nor their Counsels have appeared. The approach of the defendant no.10 to 27 and 31 to 33 is very lackadaisical. Thus, it appear that defendant no.10 to 27 and 31 to 33 are not interested in contesting the present case. Accordingly, the defendant no.10 to 27 and 31 to 33 are proceeded *exparte*.

Arguments on the application under order 22 Rule 4 read with section 151 CPC moved on behalf of plaintiff to bring on record the LRs of deceased defendant no.1 heard.

Ld. Counsel for the plaintiff submits that the LRs of the deceased defendant Nirmal Verma are already on record and their Counsel is also present.

Ld. Counsel for defendant / LR of deceased defendant Smt. Nirmal Verma admits that LRs of Smt. Nirmal Verma are already on record i.e her daughters and he is representing both the daughters and he has no objection in case the present application allowed.

Heard both the parties. Record perused.

Defendant Smt. Nirmal Verma expired on 13.05.2026. The

contd...3

present application has been filed on 04.06.2026 within the limitation period. Right to sue in this case survives. Accordingly, the application moved on behalf of the plaintiff u/o 22 Rule 4 CPC stands **allowed**. Amended memo of parties be filed by plaintiff with advance copy to the Ld. Counsel for the opposite party.

Ld. Counsels for both the parties submit that evidence is going on before the Ld. LC.

Put up awaiting report of Ld. LC regarding entire evidence for 09.09.2026.

SUSHEEL BALA DAGAR
DISTRICT JUDGE-08
WEST/DELHI/22.07.2026