

4 Civ DJ 12/2016 11460/16 RAMAN VERMA Vs. SATYA DEV
VERMA

09.02.2026

Present Sh. Ankur Jain, Ld. Counsel for plaintiff.

Sh. Sumesh Gandhi, Ld. Counsel for defendant no.1 to 9.

Sh. Lovkesh Chawla, Ld. Counsel for defendant no.28 and
29.

None for remaining defendants.

Ld. Counsel for defendant no. 1 to 9 submits that the plaintiff is trying to get a private witness examined as a summoned witness for which he had moved an application on 29.01.2026 when no Counsel for defendant was present. It is submitted that the plaintiff has filed the evidence affidavit of the said private witness (PW2) whose whereabouts are duly known to the plaintiff and the said witness had earlier appeared and was partly cross examined. It is submitted that it is for the plaintiff to produce his private witnesses instead of getting them summoned through Court process.

Heard. Record perused.

Affidavit of the said PW2 namely Saroj is on record and she has been partly cross examined as a private witness. Hence, plaintiff has to produce his witness before Ld. LC as per order dated 08.01.2026 for further cross examination instead of getting the said witness summoned from the Court. Accordingly, order dated 29.01.2026 stands recalled. There is no need to issue notice/ summons to private witness i.e PW2 as it

contd...2

-2-

is for the plaintiff to produce his private witnesses.

Put up for awaiting report of Ld. LC for 11.03.2026.

SUSHEEL BALA DAGAR
DISTRICT JUDGE-08
WEST/DELHI/09.02.2026