

IN THE COURT OF AMAN PREET SINGH,
ADDITIONAL SESSIONS, JUDGE, FAZILKA.
(UID NO.PB00269)
Case Details

Case Type	B.A
Regn. No.	B.A-279-2025
CNR No.	PBFZC000-0819-2025
Date of order	17.02.2025.

Amandeep Singh Ghora alias Gagan Singh alias Gaggi son of Desa Singh,
resident of Chak Moujdin Wala, Tehsil Jalalabad, District Fazilka.

...Applicant.

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent.

FIR No. 04 dated 03.01.2025,
Under Sections 126 (2),304,324(4),191(3), 190 BNS,2023
Police Station: Sadar Fazilka.

ANTICIPATORY BAIL APPLICATION

Present: Sh. Hardeep Singh Dhaliwal, Deputy Chief, LADC,
Fazilka, counsel for applicant.
Sh. Gurbaj Singh, learned Additional PP for the State

ORDER:

1. Present application filed on behalf of applicant-accused
Amandeep Singh Ghora alias Gagan Singh alias Gaggi, seeking
anticipatory bail in the matter, details of which have been referred above,
on the ground that applicant was falsely implicated in this case on
suspicious basis. The story put forth in the FIR is totally false and
concocted having no substance in it. The applicant has not committed any
offence. Moreover, the real name of applicant has not been mentioned in

the present FIR, which shows that applicant is not the real culprit. The police officials are raiding the house of applicant to arrest the applicant on the basis of false FIR. The applicant apprehends his arrest and detention. Nothing is to be recovered from the applicant, as such no custodial interrogation of applicant is required. The applicant is ready to furnish the bail bonds/surety bonds. Hence, the present bail application.

2. Report from the concerned Ahlmad was called and as per his report, no bail application is pending or has been decided by the Hon'ble High Court. He has further reported that no bail application has been decided by the Courts at Fazilka Sessions Division. The applicant has also furnished his affidavit that he has not filed any other bail application, except the present one.

3. Notice of the bail application was given to learned Additional PP for the State, who appeared and contested the bail application. Police file produced and perused. Learned counsel for the applicant reiterated the entire facts of the application at the time of arguments and has mainly argued that name of the applicant is Amandeep Singh Ghora son of Desa Singh, but his name is not reflected in the FIR as there is one accused namely, Gagandeep Singh son of Desa Singh and he is not the same person.

4. After hearing the rival contentions of both the parties, this court is of the opinion that present anticipatory bail application has got no merits. As per allegations on 31.12.2024, complainant Harmeet Singh and

Surinder Gagneja as well as Baljit Singh and others were going in a Bolero bearing No. PB05AS-6325 after collecting Rs. 53,000/- from the liquor vendes and when they reached near Glorious Convent School, then 8-9 unknown persons on 3-4 motor-cycles, having kapa, gandasa and swords in their hands, stopped their vehicles and started breaking the windows of his vehicle and they have taken away the bag containing cash. He has named some of the accused namely, Jaswinder Singh son of Hakam Singh, Gagan Singh son of Desa Singh, Sucha Singh son of Kakan Singh and Navdeep Singh Brar son of Buta Singh along with 4-5 unidentified persons. On these allegations, present FIR was got registered. Applicant has mainly contended that his name is not mentioned in the FIR, however, there is name of one accused namely, Gagan Singh and name of his father as well as name of the father of the applicant are same i.e. Desa Singh. It is yet to be ascertained whether the said Gagan is the applicant or not. Moreover, as per allegations, there are other 4-5 unknown persons and applicant may be one of the said persons. As per allegations, a serious offence has committed as complainant has been put under threat with deadly weapon and his vehicle also got damaged and thereafter, an amount of rupees 53,000/- has been taken away by the accused. Keeping in view the allegations leveled in the FIR, this court is of the opinion that custodial interrogation of the applicant is required for just and proper investigation and moreover, other co accused are yet to be arrested and the weapons used in the alleged occurrence are yet to be got

recovered. The snatched amount is also yet to be got recovered. Therefore, from the above discussion, this Court of the opinion that at this stage, applicant does not deserve the extra ordinary relief of anticipatory bail. Accordingly, the same stands dismissed. Police file be returned. Bail application be consigned to the Record Room.

Pronounced in open Court.

Typed by

Rajesh Kumar,

Stenographer Gr. I

(Direct Dictation)

Dated:- 17.02.2025

Sd/-

Aman Preet Singh,

Additional Sessions Judge,

Fazilka. (UID No. PB0269)