

CNR No. DLWT01-011012-22
SC No. 760/2022
FIR No. 558/2022
u/s 20/61/85 NDPS Act
PS: Rajouri Garden
State Vs. Ashish @ Jeetu

15.05.2024

Present: Sh. Subhash Chauhan, Ld. Addl. PP for the State.

Accused is present.

Sh. Abhijeet Bhagat, Ld. Counsel for accused.

File perused. Heard. Case is fixed for PE

PW Ct. Laxmi Narayan is present, examined, cross
examined as PW-3 and is discharged.

At request, put up for PE on 07.09.2024

**Let the witnesses appearing at serial no. 2 be
summoned through SHO concerned alongwith MHC(M) for the
NDOH.**

(Satvir Singh Lamba)
ASJ/Special Judge (NDPS(West))
THC/Delhi/15.05.2024

CNR No. DLWT01-00956-23
SC No. 591/2023
FIR No. 513/2023
u/s 20(b)(ii)(c) NDPS Act
PS: Ranhola
State Vs. Shyam Babu Mahto

15.05.2024

CHARGE

I, *SATVIR SINGH LAMBA*, ASJ/Special Judge (NDPS), West District, Tis Hazari Courts, Delhi, do hereby charge you accused **Shyam Babu Mahto**, s/o Ram Baksh Mahto, r/o Village Chandpura, PS Raghavpur, District Vaishali, Bihar as under:

That on **04.06.2023**, at **10:20 AM**, near H.No. 527-28, Kotala Vihar, Phase II, Delhi, you were found in possession of **20 Kg 630 gm of Ganja**, and thereby you committed an offence ***punishable u/s Sec 20 (b)(ii) (C) of NDPS Act, 1985***, and within the cognizance of this Court.

Secondly, on **04.06.2023**, **48 kg 840 gm of Ganja** was recovered at your instance from your rented room at H.No. 14, Village Tilangpur, Kotala, Delhi and thereby you accused committed an offence ***punishable u/s Sec 20 (b)(ii) (C) of NDPS Act, 1985***, and within the cognizance of this Court.

I hereby direct you to be tried by this Court for aforesaid offence.

**ASJ/Special Judge (NDPS(West))
THC/Delhi/14.05.2024**

The Charge has been read over to the accused persons and explained to them in Hindi. Accused are questioned as under :-

Q. Do you plead guilty or claim trial?

Ans. I do not plead guilty and claim trial.

**(Satvir Singh Lamba)
ASJ/Special Judge (NDPS(West))
THC/Delhi/15.05.2024**

CNR No. DLWT01-00956-23
SC No. 591/2023
FIR No. 513/2023
u/s 20(b)(ii)(c) NDPS Act
PS: Ranhola
State Vs. Shyam Babu Mahto

15.05.2024

Present: Sh. Subhash Chauhan, Ld. Addl. PP for the State.

Accused is produced from JC.

Sh. Pradeep Kumar, Ld. Counsel for accused.

File perused. Heard. Case is fixed for arguments on the point of charge.

Arguments on the point of charge heard. Relevant records perused.

Considering the matter in totality of facts and circumstances of this case, statements of witnesses, FSL result and other material placed on record, this Court is of the considered opinion that a prima facie case for the offence punishable u/s 20 (b)(ii) (C) of NDPS Act is made out against the accused persons. Accordingly, the charge is framed separately, to which accused persons have pleaded not guilty and claimed trial.

At request, put up for PE on 30.08.2024.

Let the witnesses appearing at serial no. 1 and 7 be summoned through SHO concerned alongwith MHC(M) for the NDOH.

(Satvir Singh Lamba)
ASJ/Special Judge (NDPS(West))
THC/Delhi/15.05.2024

CNR No. DLWT01-002181-2015
SC No. 57408-2016
FIR No. 823/2015
u/s 302/34 IPC
PS: Hari Nagar
State Vs. Yashpal and ors.

15.05.2024

Present: Sh. Subhash Chauhan, Ld. Addl. PP for the State.

Accused Yashpal, Suraj and Mohit are produced from JC.

Accused Manoj @ Pattal is absent. (on interim bail in the present case vide order dt. 01.05.2024)

Ms. Meenakshi Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the accused Mohit @ Vikcky and Suraj @ Rahul.

Sh. Abhijeet Bhagat, Ld. Amicus Curaie for the accused Yashpal.

Ld. Counsel for the accused Manoj @ Pattal.

PW Inspector Daljeet is present.

File perused. Heard. Matter is today fixed for PE.

Exemption application is filed on behalf of accused Manoj @ Pattal. Ld. Counsel further submits that he has no objection for recording of evidence of the above-said PW and the accused shall not dispute his identify at any stage. Considering the averments made in the application, exemption is allowed for today only subject to condition that accused shall not dispute his identity at any stage.

PW Inspector Daljeet is present, examined, cross examined as PW-30 and is discharged.

At request, let the PW Inspector Ram Kishan be summoned through SHO concerned alongwith MHC(M) for PE on 22.07.2024.

(Satvir Singh Lamba)
ASJ/Special Judge (NDPS(West))
THC/Delhi/15.05.2024

CNR No. DLWT01-003821-2019
SC No. 264/2019
FIR No. 290/2018
u/s 21 NDPS Act
PS: Crime Branch
State Vs. Dharmender

15.05.2024

Present: Sh. Subhash Chauhan, Ld. Addl. PP for the State.

Accused is absent.

Sh. R. K. Giri, Ld. Counsel for accused.

File perused. Heard. Case is fixed for PE.

An exemption application is filed on behalf of accused on medical grounds. Considering the averments made in the application, exemption is allowed for today only.

Summons issued to PW Inspector Satender Sangwan received back duly served with request. Same is considered for today.

Summons issued to PW SI Kishan received back duly served. However he is absent. **Letailable warrants in a sum of Rs. 2000/- be issued against him through SHO for the NDOH.**

At request, put up for PE on 31.08.2024 with direction to issue fresh summons to PW Inspector Satender Sangwan through SHO concerned alongwith MHC(M) for the NDOH.

(Satvir Singh Lamba)
ASJ/Special Judge (NDPS(West))
THC/Delhi/15.05.2024

CNR No. DLWT01-005601/20
SC No. 412/20
FIR No.438/20
u/s 302 IPC
PS Punjabi Bagh
State Vs. Rahisul Azam

15.05.2024

Present: Sh. Subhash Chauhan, Ld. Addl. PP for the State.

Accused is present.

Ld. Proxy Counsel for the accused.

File perused. Heard. Matter is today for PE.

PW Vikash is present but discharged unexamined as
Ld. proxy counsel submits that his main defence counsel is not
available today.

**At request, put up for R.P.E on 17.09.2024 with
direction to issue fresh summons to PW Vikash through SHO
concerned alongwith MHC(M) for the NDOH.**

(Satvir Singh Lamba)
ASJ/Special Judge (NDPS(West))
THC/Delhi/15.05.2024

CNR No. DLWT01-001185-23
SC No. 57038-2016
FIR No. 316/2014
u/s 302/498A/304B/34 IPC
PS: Ranjit Nagar
State Vs. Md. Imran and ors.

15.05.2024

Present: Sh. Subhash Chauhan, Ld. Addl. PP for the State.

All accused are present.

Proceedings against the accused Md. Iqbal has already been abated vide order dt. 28.02.2020.

Sh. M. K. Khan, Ld. Counsel for complainant.

File perused. Matter is today fixed for final arguments.

Accused persons submit that their counsel is not available today for advancing final arguments.

Part arguments heard on behalf of complainant.

At request, put up for further/remaining final arguments on 04.06.2024.

(Satvir Singh Lamba)
ASJ/Special Judge (NDPS(West))
THC/Delhi/15.05.2024

CNR No. DLWT01-002283-2015
SC No. 57459-2016
FIR No. 103/2015
u/s 323/341/307/308/506/34 IPC
PS: Ranjeet Nagar
State Vs. Arjun and ors.

15.05.2024

Present: Sh. Subhash Chauhan, Ld. Addl. PP for the State.

All accused are present.

Proceedings against the accused Tejpal have already been abated vide order dt. 12.08.2016.

Ld. Counsel for all the accused persons.

File perused. Heard. Case is fixed for SA.

Ld. Counsel requests for adjournment for today.

At request, put up for SA u/s 313 of Cr.P.C on

16.07.2024.

(Satvir Singh Lamba)
ASJ/Special Judge (NDPS(West))
THC/Delhi/15.05.2024

CNR No. DLWT01-003166-2021
SC No. 281/2021
FIR No. 956/2020
u/s 392/395/412/34 IPC
PS: Punjabi Bagh
State Vs. Jam Bahadur and ors.

15.05.2024

Present: Sh. Subhash Chauhan, Ld. Addl. PP for the State.

File perused. Heard.

Perusal of the file reveals that process under Sec 82 Cr.P.C against all the accused was ordered to be executed through IO/SHO on the LDOH. However, report received shows that it is not executed properly.

Let fresh process under Sec 82 Cr.P.C be issued against all the accused persons through concerned SHO/IO, as per rules.

To come up for report/ further proceedings on 31.07.2024.

(Satvir Singh Lamba)
ASJ/Special Judge (NDPS(West))
THC/Delhi/15.05.2024

CNR No. DLWT01-001550-2023
SC No. 93/2023
FIR No. 693/2022
u/s 308/302/202/212 IPC
PS: Ranjit Nagar
State Vs. Adnan and ors.

15.05.2024

Present: Sh. Subhash Chauhan, Ld. Addl. PP for the State.
Accused Adnan, Akdas and Huzaiifa and Hamza and Iqrar are produced from JC.
Other accused persons are also present.
Sh. I.A Alvi, Ld. Counsel for all the accused except accused Iqrar.
Ms. Nimmi Sinha, Ld. Proxy Counsel for complainant.
IO/Inspector Ravinder Dagar is present (now posted in PS Sabji Mandi)
File perused. Heard. Case is fixed for PE.
Summons issued to PW ACP Mahesh Chand received back served with request. Same is considered for today.
Summons issued to PW HC Anuj received back unversed as the relevant details are not correct.
Record reveals that a copy of order passed by Hon'ble Delhi High Court dt. 03.05.2024 is received. Same is gone through.
Record further reveals that FSL result in the present case is still pending. **Let a request be issued to Director FSL Rohini to expedite the FSL result in the present case on priority basis. SHO concerned is also directed to ensure the filing of FSL result in the present matter positively by the NDOH.**
In view of compliance of abovesaid order of Hon'ble High Court of Delhi, PW-1Alok Dubey and PW Monti be summoned for remaining PE through SHO alongwith MHC(M) for 30.05.2024.

(Satvir Singh Lamba)
ASJ/Special Judge (NDPS(West))
THC/Delhi/15.05.2024

CNR No. DLWT01-008417-23
SC No. 520/2023
FIR No. 140/2023
PS: Anand Parbat
State Vs. Pintu and ors.

15.05.2024

Present: Sh. Subhash Chauhan, Ld. Addl. PP for the State.
Accused Reet Ram, Deepak and Sonu are present.
All other accused persons are produced from JC.
Sh. Mayank Jain, Ld. Counsel for accused Pintu,
Jaswinder and Deepak.
Sh. Rohan Kumar, Ld. Counsel for accused Sahil.
Sh. Rakesh, Ld. Counsel for accused Shivam, Sonu
and Reet Ram.
Sh. Tripurari Tiwari, Ld. Counsel for accused Shiv
Shankar.

File perused. Heard. Case is fixed for arguments on
the point of charge.

Notice issued to Director FSL received back with
report that FSL in the present matter is taken up on priority basis
and the same shall be ready by 28.06.2024.

Accordingly, **SHO concerned is directed to ensure the
filing of FSL result in the present matter positively by the
NDOH.**

Record reveals that an application on behalf of
accused Sahil u/s 94 of Juvenile Justice Act is also pending.

At this stage, a reply on behalf of Inspector Aadesh
Kumar is filed wherein it is submitted that he has not received
the copy of application and the related documents which are

contd....

-2-

necessary for filing of further report/reply. Heard. **Let the same be supplied today itself.**

Put up for purpose fixed/compliance of previous order for 20.07.2024.

**(Satvir Singh Lamba)
ASJ/Special Judge (NDPS(West))
THC/Delhi/15.05.2024**

CNR No. DLWT01-00

SC No.

FIR No.

u/s

PS:

State Vs.

15.05.2024

Present:

(Satvir Singh Lamba)

ASJ/Special Judge (NDPS(West))

THC/Delhi/15.05.2024

CNR No. DLWT01-00

SC No.

FIR No.

u/s

PS:

State Vs.

15.05.2024

Present:

(Satvir Singh Lamba)
ASJ/Special Judge (NDPS(West))
THC/Delhi/15.05.2024

CNR No. DLWT01-00

SC No.

FIR No.

u/s

PS:

State Vs.

15.05.2024

Present:

(Satvir Singh Lamba)
ASJ/Special Judge (NDPS(West))
THC/Delhi/15.05.2024

CNR No. DLWT01-011012-22
SC No. 760/2022
FIR No. 558/2022
u/s 20/61/85 NDPS Act
PS: Rajouri Garden
State Vs. Ashish @ Jeetu

15.05.2024

PW- 3 Ct. Laxmi Narayan, No. 868/W, PS Vikaspuri, Delhi.

On SA

On 23.09.2022, I was posted at PS Rajouri Garden. On that day, I collected the exhibits of the present case from HC Yuddhvair, MHC(M) and I deposited the same at FSL Rohini vide RC No. 208/21/22. I collected the acknowledgement and I gave the same to HC Yuddhvair. The case property was not tempered with till it remained in my possession.

XXXX by Sh. Abhijeet Bhagat, Ld. LAC for the accused.

I left the PS at around 11:00 AM. I made my departure entry at the PS but I do not remember the no. of the same. I reached FSL office by my own car bearing no. HR-35S-8771. I have not mentioned the registration no. of my car in my departure entry. It is wrong to suggest that I did not collect any exhibit from the MHC(M) or that I did not deposit the same at the FSL. It is wrong to suggest that I am deposing falsely.

RO &AC

(Satvir Singh Lamba)
ASJ/Special Judge (NDPS)(West)
THC/Delhi/15.05.2024

CNR No. DLWT01-002181-2015
SC No. 57408-2016
FIR No. 823/2015
u/s 302/34 IPC
PS: Hari Nagar
State Vs. Yashpal and ors.

15.05.2024

PW- 30 Inspector Daljeet, No. D4192, PS North Rohini, Delhi.

On SA

On 11.06.2015, I was posted at PS Hari Nagar as SI. On that day, I was performing emergendy duty at PS hari Nagar. On having received DD No. 14-A, now **Ex.PW30/A** I alongwith Ct. Biren went to emergendy of DDU hospital. Inmate Prithvi, s/o Mohan Singh had been delcared brought dead on his MLC. In the meanwhile, Inspector Ram Kishan of PS Hari Nagar alongwith other sernior officer and staff also reached DDU hospital. They conducted the inspection of the dead body and thereafter the dead body was shifted to Mortuary. Inspector Ram Kishan collected the MLC of deceased. Thereafter, I alongwith Inspector Ram Kishan and Ct. Biren went to Central Jail no. 8, Tihar Jail, New Delhi. Inspector Ram Kishan directed Ct Biren to remain at the gate of Central Jail no. 8 and I alongwith Inspector Ram Kishan went inside Central Jail no. 8. Dy. Superintendent V. S. Bhati and other staff had taken us at the place of incident i.e. Cell no.C-11, Ward no. 2, Central Jail no. 8. I noticed that blood was there in the said cell (chakki). Inspector Ram Kishan gave message to mobile crime team to reach at the spot. After some time, Mobile crime team reached at the spot. They inspected the scene of crime and took the photographs of the place of incident from different angles.

Contd...

Thereafter, Inspector Ram Kishan collected the exhibits i.e. blood stained earth and control earth from the spot, kept the same in two separate plastic container, affixed the doctor tape and the same and affixed his seal of RK and given Mark S1 and S2 and same were taken into possession through separate seizure memo vide now **Ex.PW30/B** which bears my signature at point A.

At the spot itself, Head Warden Rajender met us, who stated that he was an eye witness. Inspector Ram Kishan recorded his statement. Inspector Ram Kishan made his endorsement on the same and gave the original Tehrir to Ct. Biren, who was standing outside the gate of Central Jail and directed him to go to PS for registration of the FIR. I alongwith Inspector Ram Kishan again visited the spot. IO prepared site plan at the instance of Head Warden Rajender. IO inquired from the other inmates and recorded their statements. IO also recorded the statement of mobile crime team officials. We received an information that Ct. Biren had arrived at the main gate after registration of the FIR. I alongwith Inspector Ram Kishan went at the main gate an Ct. Biren handed over the computerized copy of FIR and original Tehrir to the IO/ Inspector Ram Kishan. Thereafter, I alongwith IO went in the office of Superintendent CJ-08 namely Sh. R. S. Nagar.

Sh. R. S. Nagar produced one improvised knife before the IO and the top upper corner of the knife was broken and stated that the said knife has been recovered from accused Manoj. IO prepared sketch of said knife. I have seen the sketch. The same is already Ex.PW15/P1 and it bears my signature at

contd...

point B. The knife was kept in a cloth parcel and it was sealed with the seal of RK and the same was taken into possession through seizure memo now Ex.PW30/C which bears my signature at point A. IO recorded statement of RS Nagar. Thereafter, I alongwith IO went to Control room (chakkar) of Central Jail no. 8, where we met with Dy. Superintendent Sh. V.S. Bhati in his office. He produced three improvised knives and stated that the same have been recovered from accused persons namely Suraj, Mohit and Yashpal. IO prepared the sketch of the knives, stated to have been recovered from accused Mohit, Yashpal and Suraj on separate piece of paper, vide Ex.PW2/F, Ex.PW2/G and Ex.PW2/H respectively, bearing my signature at point B. IO kept all these knives in three separate cloth parcel and all the parcels were sealed with the seal of RK and IO prepared seizure memo of the same vide Ex.PW2/E, Ex.PW2/D and Ex.PW2/C respectively bearing my signature at point A. IO recorded statement of other jail officials in the present case. Thereafter I alongwith IO went to ward no. 4 of CJ-8. IO inquired from the inmates and recorded their statements u/s 161 of Cr.P.C. Thereafter I alongwith IO went to dispensary of CJ-08 and IO recorded the statement of Doctor and the dispensary staff u/s 161 of Cr.P.C. Thereafter, I alongwith IO visited DDU hospital. I was relieved by the IO from the DDU hospital.

On the same day i.e. 11.06.2015, my statement was recorded by the IO at the PS.

On 12.06.2015, I again joined the investigation with the IO/Inspector Ram Kishan and we both went to Mortuary DDU hospital. Family members of deceased namely Harish and

cond....

Kundan were present at the mortuary. IO recorded their identification statement vide Ex.PW20/P2 which bears my signature at point B. The postmortem on the dead body was conducted by the board of doctors at the DDU hospital and the photography/videography of the entire postmortem process was conducted. After the postmortem, the dead body was given to Mr. Harish for last rites vide document Ex.PW20/P1 bearing my signature at point B. After the postmortem, the exhibits were produced before the IO and IO had taken the same into his possession through separate seizure memo now Ex.PW30/D bearing my signature at point A. IO recorded statement of Sanjay, photographer, who conducted the photography/videography. My statement was also recorded by the IO.

On 27.06.2015, I again joined the investigation with the IO and wen to CJ-08. IO moved an application before the Superintendent CJ-08 to produce accused persons of the present case and accordingly, 4 accused persons, namely Manoj s/o Ajay, Mohit, Yashpal and Suraj were produced by the jail staff before the IO.

First and foremost, IO interrogated accused Manoj and recorded his disclosure statement on the basis of his version, the same is now **Ex.PW30/E** bearing my signature at point A. In the meantime, Head Warden Sushil reached there and he identified all the four aforesaid accused persons and stated that they all committed murder of inmate Prithavi. IO arrested accused Manoj and prepared arrest memo vide now **Ex.PW30/F** bearing my signature at point A. Thereafter, IO interrogated accused Suraj and recorded his disclosure statement on the basis

contd....

of his version, the same is now **Ex.PW30/G** bearing my signature at point A. IO arrested accused Suraj and prepared arrest memo vide now **Ex.PW30/H** bearing my signature at point B. Thereafter, IO interrogated accused Mohit and recorded his disclosure statement on the basis of his version, the same is now **Ex.PW30/J** bearing my signature at point A. IO arrested accused Mohit and prepared arrest memo vide now **Ex.PW30/K** bearing my signature at point A. Thereafter, IO interrogated accused Yashpal and recorded his disclosure statement on the basis of his version, the same is now **Ex.PW30/L** bearing my signature at point A. IO arrested accused Yashpal and prepared arrest memo vide now **Ex.PW30/M** bearing my signature at point B. IO recorded statement of Head warden Sushil. All the four accused persons were again given in the custody of jail officials. IO gave a request letter to Superintendent Jail to produce all the four accused persons on the next day, before the concerned court at Tis Hazari. IO recorded my statement.

Now, I remember that duty constable posted at emergency DDU hospital produced three sealed parcels and one sample seal before the IO and same were taken into possession through seizure memo now **Ex.PW30/N** which bears my signature at point A.

I identify three accused persons namely Yashpal, Suraj and Mohit, present before the Court. I can also identify accused Manoj @ Pattal, if shown to me. (Ld. Defence counsel for accused Manoj has moved an application for personal exemption of the accused and he does not dispute his identity).

I can identify the case property, if shown to me.

Contd...

At this stage, MHC(M) produced one envelope bearing Parcel no. 5, duly sealed with the seal of DKS. Envelope got opened and is found containing one open cloth parcel, having the particulars of the case and it also contains four improvises/handmade knives. I have seen the all the four improvises/handmade knives. One of the improvises knife was produced by Sh.R. S. Nagar, Superintendent, CJ-08 and the remaining 3 improvises/handmade knives were produced by Sh. V. S. Bhati, Dy. Superintendent of CJ-08. I identify all the four improvises/handmade knives. The same are now collectively Ex.PW30/P1(colly).

XXXX by Ms. Meenakshi, Ld. Defence counsel for the accused Suraj and Mohit.

I have seen the accused persons for the first time on 27.06.2015 at CJ-08. It is correct that the knives were produced before the IO by Sh. R. S. Nagar, Superintendent and by Sh. V. S. Bhati, Dy. Superintendent, CJ-08. No recovery was effected from accused persons in my immediate presence. No separate list/document was provided by the jail authority to the IO in my presence specifying as to which of the knife was recovered from which of the accused. Vol. I can tell as to which of the knife was recovered from which of the accused as told by Superintendent and dy. Superintendent. No finger print was lifted from the improvised knives by the mobile crime team in my presence. It is correct that when I visited the spot for the first time, neither the accused persons were present there nor injured was there. IO did not collect any CCTV footage from CJ-08 in my presence. I do not know if CCTV were installed at CJ-08. IO did not give any

contd...

identification Mark on the knives. Vol. On the cloth pullanda, the name of the accused was mentioned by the IO. It is wrong to suggest that IO of the present case did not collect the necessary evidence in the present case. It is wrong to suggest that accused persons did not commit any offence or that the improvised knives have been planted upon them. It is wrong to suggest that I am deposing falsely at the instance of IO.

XXXX by Sh. Abhijeet Bhagat, Ld. LAC for the accused Yashpal.

I hereby adopt the above conducted cross examination on behalf of accused Suraj and Mohit.

XXXX by Ld. Counsel for accused Manoj @ Pattal.

Nil. (opportunity is given).

RO &AC

**(Satvir Singh Lamba)
ASJ/Special Judge (NDPS)(West)
THC/Delhi/15.05.2024**

CNR No. DLWT01-00

SC No.

FIR No.

u/s

PS:

State Vs.

15.05.2024

PW-

On SA

RO &AC

(Satvir Singh Lamba)

ASJ/Special Judge (NDPS)(West)

THC/Delhi/15.05.2024

CNR No. DLWT01-00

SC No.

FIR No.

u/s

PS:

State Vs.

15.05.2024

PW-

On SA

RO &AC

(Satvir Singh Lamba)

ASJ/Special Judge (NDPS)(West)

THC/Delhi/15.05.2024

CNR No. DLWT01-00

SC No.

FIR No.

u/s

PS:

State Vs.

15.05.2024

PW-

On SA

RO &AC

(Satvir Singh Lamba)

ASJ/Special Judge (NDPS)(West)

THC/Delhi/15.05.2024

CNR No. DLWT01-00

SC No.

FIR No.

u/s

PS:

State Vs.

15.05.2024

PW-

On SA

RO &AC

(Satvir Singh Lamba)

ASJ/Special Judge (NDPS)(West)

THC/Delhi/15.05.2024

