

**IN THE COURT OF BISHAN SAROOP,
ADDITIONAL SESSIONS JUDGE,
MOGA (UID No.PB00449).**

CNR No.PBMO010065452025
CIS Filing No.BA/2788/2025
Date of order : 05.09.2025

State

Versus

Jaspreet Singh alias Gobhi son of Kashmir Singh resident of Ina Khera,
Ward No.2, Near Balmik, Mukatsar Sahib.

---Accused-applicant

FIR No.128 dated 06.07.2025,
U/ss 140(3), 308(2), 127(2), 351(2), 3(5), 61(2) of BNS Act
Later on added Section 140 (2) of BNS Act,
Police Station :- Baghapurana.

Bail Application under Section 483 of B.N.S.S.

Present :- Sh. Rajesh Rehan Advocate for accused-applicant.
Ms. Gunwantjit Manesh, Additional Public Prosecutor for State
assisted by Sh. Lakhvir Singh Gill, Advocate for complainant

ORDER

1. Vide this order, I shall dispose of application for bail, particulars of which are giving herein above, preferred under Section 483 of the B.N.S.S. Act, by aforesaid applicant.

2. The brief facts of the case are that present FIR has been lodged on the basis of statement of Jagsir Singh son of Sher Singh, wherein he has stated that he is an agriculturist. Presently, his wife Harbans Kaur is Sarpanch of the village. On 04.07.2025, at about 1.30 PM, he went to ICICI Bank, Moga in regard to the money transaction his father Sher Singh. He received a call from one lady who introduced herself as Preet and told him to get effect the compromise in a dispute pending at PS Baghapurana. Said lady asked him to meet canal bridge of village Gill. When, he reached near canal bridge of village Gill, then one Swift car bearing No.PB-10EP-8390 in which three men and two women were sitting, came there and aforesaid

persons forcibly pushed him in the car and kidnapped him in the vacant house situated in Moga City and in the said house, one lady and two male persons were present there and all the aforesaid persons gave beatings to him. Thereafter, the aforesaid persons had made him half naked after removing his clothes and also prepared his video with one lady and they also threatened him that they will got viral his video and demanded Rs.10 lakhs from him. The aforesaid persons have also illegal confined him in the said house and thereafter in pre planned conspiracy, they have called Major Singh Sarpanch of his village who reached at the spot and he compromised the matter between him and accused persons for an amount of Rs.4,00,000/-. Then aforesaid accused persons told him to arrange the amount and also threatened that in case said amount will not be paid by tomorrow they will viral his video. Thereafter, he alongwith Major Singh returned back to his village. Major Singh called him again and again by stating to hand over the amount at the earliest. Thereafter, he inquired the matter at his own level and came to know that the names of persons who have kidnapped him and demanded the money from him on the pretext to got viral his video are Jaspreet Singh alias Gobhi son of Kashmir Singh resident of Ina Kheda, Ward No.2, Near Balmik District Mukatsar Sahib, John alias Ladi son of Ram Saroop resident of Khooh Cha Parsia, Near Gaushala, Ferozepur Cantt, Vijay son of Mangal Singh son of Piara Singh resident of Khooh Cha Parsia, Near Gaushala, Ferozepur Cantt, Raj Rani wife of Desh Raj resident of Bal Krishan resident of New Parvana Nagar, Gali No.4, Moga and Mamta Rani wife of Rajnish Kataria son of Subash Kataria resident of Parvana Nagar, Gali No.4, Moga. Apart from them one lady namely Preet and two unknown persons were also accompanied with them to whom he can identify if they came in front of him. Ex-Sarpanch Major Singh son of Mukhtiar Singh resident of Gurusar Mari has kept grudge against him due to defeat in election and due to this, Major Singh in connivance with the aforesaid persons have committed the crime. On the basis of aforesaid statement of complainant, FIR was got registered against the accused/applicant and other co-accused. On 07.07.2025, accused/applicant was arrested in this case.

3. Learned counsel for accused/applicant has contended that applicant/accused has been falsely implicated in the present case as he has not committed any offence as alleged in the FIR. He further contended that accused/applicant is in custody since 08.07.2025 and presentation of challan and conclusion of trial thereof will take long time, as such, no useful purpose would be served by detaining the accused behind the bar. Accused/applicant undertake to abide by the directions issued by this Court. He lastly prayed that accused/applicant may kindly be released on bail.

4. Conversely, zeroing in on the arguments of learned counsel for accused/applicant, learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State assisted by learned counsel for the complainant has vehemently opposed the prayer of the bail and submitted that the accused/applicant alongwith his co-accused abducted the complainant in a car and after that illegally confined him in a vacant house in Moga and thereafter they demanded Rs.10 lakhs from him by forcibly preparing his video with one lady after making him half naked. Therefore, keeping in view the nature and gravity of offence committed by the accused/applicant, he does not deserve the concession of bail and accordingly, he prayed for the dismissal of the present bail application filed by the accused/applicant.

5. Having heard the rival contentions of both the parties and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, on careful perusal of the averments of the application, contents of the FIR, record so produced, coupled with due assistance rendered and keeping in view the nature of offence committed, the court does not find any merit in the submissions put forth by the learned counsel for the accused/applicant. Perusal of record goes to show that the allegations against the accused/applicant and other co-accused are that he alongwith his other co-accused had abducted the complainant in a car and then they illegally confined him in a vacant house situated at Moga and thereafter they forcibly prepared his video with one lady after making him half naked and demanded Rs.10 lakhs by threatening him to get viral his video on social media. Therefore, accused-applicant has committed the heinous crime. The mere fact that the accused/applicant is in custody are not an extenuating ground to allow her bail. Thus, keeping in

view of the seriousness and gravity of the offence, the accused/applicant is not entitled for concession of regular bail. Hence, the instant application preferred U/s 483 of B.N.S.S for regular bail, being bereft of any substance, is hereby dismissed. File be consigned to the Record Room.

Pronounced.
Dated :- 05.09.2025
Sunny Stenographer-II

(Bishan Saroop)
Additional Sessions Judge,
Moga (UID No.PB00449).

Present :- Sh. Rajesh Rehan Advocate for accused-applicant.
Ms. Gunwantjit Manesh, Additional Public Prosecutor for State
assisted by Sh. Lakhvir Singh Gill, Advocate for complainant

Today Sh.Lakhvir Singh Gill, Advocate appeared and filed
power of attorney on behalf of the complainant. Record received.
Arguments heard. Vide my separate order of even date, the present bail
application stands dismissed for the reasons stated therein. File be
consigned to the Record Room.

Pronounced.
Dated :- 05.09.2025
Sunny Stenographer-II

(Bishan Saroop)
Additional Sessions Judge,
Moga (UID No.PB00449).