

IN THE COURT OF THE SESSIONS JUDGE AT KARAIKAL

**PRESENT: Thiru. K. MOHAN, B.B.A., L.L.M,
SESSIONS JUDGE
KARAIKAL**

Monday, the 10th day of August, 2026

CrI.M.P. No.156/2026
in
Crime No.132/2026 of T.R.Pattinam P.S., Karaikal

Sabarinathan (22),
S/o Anbalagan

.... Petitioner/Accused No.3

Vs.

State rep. by
The Inspector of Police,
T.R.Pattinam Police Station, Karaikal
through the Public Prosecutor,
Karaikal.

.... Respondent/Complainant

This petition coming on this day for final hearing before me in the presence of Tmt. S. Karthikeyan, Advocate for the petitioner and Thiru. A. Senthilkumar, Public Prosecutor for the respondent, upon hearing both sides and on perusing the records, this court has passed the following:

ORDER

The Petitioner/accused has filed the present application u/s.482 of BNSS, seeking anticipatory bail in the event of arrest by the respondent police, if any for the alleged offence u/s.191(2), 191(3), 329(4), 118(1), 115(2), 296(b), 351(3) r/w 190 of BNS, 2023.

2. The counsel for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner apprehends in the event of arrest by the respondent police, the petitioner did not commit criminal the alleged offences as alleged by the respondent police as there is no prima facie case against him, a false case is registered against the petitioner due to personal animosity and misunderstanding of facts; It is the fact that when the petitioner proceeded to return the motorcycle to one Balaji who was tied with a tree at the street by the people and he tried to save him, he was assaulted by the defacto complainant and the neighbouts and then the defacto complainant lodged a false complaint against him. Further the petitioner undertakes that he will abide the conditions imposed by this court in the event of granting bail.

3. The learned Public Prosecutor objected this application by stating that the petitioner/accused along with co-accused persons assembled into unlawful assembly with deadly weapons and criminally trespassed in to the house of defacto complainant and the petitioner/accused abused the him with filthy language and the defacto complainant and witness Anbuprakasam with wooden log and kicked them and caused injuries to them and also threatened them with dire consequences of his life. It is further stated the investigation is not yet completed as some more witnesses to be examined and other accused persons to be arrested; if the petitioner/accused is released on bail, he will indulge same kind of offences and he will tamper the witnesses and hamper the process of investigation and hence he prayed for dismissal of the petition.

Point:

4. The petitioner/accused apprehending the arrest by the respondent for the offence u/s.191(2), 191(3), 329(4), 118(1), 115(2), 296(b), 351(3) r/w 190 of BNS. The petitioner claimed that he is innocent, he did not commit the alleged offences and he abide any in the event of releasing the petitioner on bail. Whereas, the petitioner/accused abused the injured and assaulted them with aruval and wooden log and caused injuries to them. Taking considering of nature of the offences, the weapon used by the accused persons for commission of the offence are seized and the injured persons were discharged from the hospital and there is no previous bad antecedents against the petitioner, hence this court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner on following conditions.

(i) That the petitioner/accused is ordered to be enlarged on bail, in the event of arrest, if any, made by the respondent police or on his surrender before the learned Judicial Magistrate No.I, Karaikal within 15 days on executing a bond for Rs.10,000/-each (Rupees ten thousand only) with two sureties each for likesum each to the satisfaction of the learned Judicial Magistrate No.I, Karaikal ;

(ii) That the petitioner/accused shall appear and sign before the Respondent police daily at 10.00 a.m., for one month and co-operate for the investigation;

(iii) That the petitioner/accused shall not by directly or indirectly tamper the witnesses and hamper the investigation;

(iv) That Deviation of any of the conditions would result in cancellation of bail automatically as held by the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India in P.K.Shaji Vs. State of Kerala.

In the result, this petition is allowed.

Typed to my dictation, corrected and pronounced by me in the open court on this the 10th day of August, 2026.

**(K. MOHAN)
SESSIONS JUDGE(FAC)
KARAIKAL**

Copy of the order is communicated to:

1. Judicial Magistrate No.I, Karaikal
2. Advocate for the petitioner.
3. The Public Prosecutor, Karaikal.
4. The Inspector of Police, T.R.Pattinam Police Station, Karaikal.