

Civ DJ 79/2011
12708/16
DR. RAJ SINGH AND ANR Vs. SH. OM PRAKASH
LAKRA AND ANR

31.07.2019

Pr: Plaintiff in person with counsel Sh.S.P.Saini.

Defendant no.1 unserved with the court notice.

Legal heirs of deceased defendant no.2 are already on record.

Defendant no.3 also deceased and court notice sent to her husband Ram Kumar received back with the report that he has expired.

Other legal heirs of Smt.Krishna Devi have been served but they have not appeared despite service.

Defendant no.4 in person with proxy counsel Sh.Rahul Bansal.

Court notice sent to defendant no.1 received back with the report of wrong address.

Today, it is submitted by ld.counsel for plaintiff that defendant no.1 already proceeded exparte vide

- 2-

order dated 31.03.2014 and there is no requirement of serving upon him with the court notice.

Record perused. The statement is indeed correct.

At this stage, ld.counsel for plaintiff submits that he shall be taking steps for impleading legal heirs of 3(i) Ram Kumar.

In the facts, put upon **03.10.2019 for further proceedings.**

(Vikas Dhull)
ADJ-01/West/THC
Delhi