

SC No. 56434/16
FIR No. 486/13
PS: Tilak Nagar
State Vs. Mukesh Kumar Soni etc.
U/s 302/201/120B/34 IPC & Sec. 27/54/59 Arms Act

07.02.2022

Through Video Conferencing

Present : Sh. Alok Saxena, Ld. Addl. PP for the State through V.C.
Accused Mukesh Soni and Raju Banarsi are P.O.
Sh. L.D Mual, Ld. Counsel for accused Ravinder Rathi through V.C.
Ms. Jagriti Jain, Ld. Counsel for accused Abhishek Kumar through V.C.
Sh. Shivam Yadav, Ld. Counsel for accused Rishu@ Abhimanyu through V.C.
Accused Rishu@ Abhimanyu and Abhishek Kumar produced from J.C through V.C
Sh. Chetan, Ld. Counsel for accused Rajesh Lamba alongwith accused Rajesh through V.C
Ms. Gurjeet Kaur, Ld. Counsel for applicant Joginder Kaur Lamba through V.C.
IO SI Prahlad through V.C.

PW Sh Devender Pratap Junior Asstt. RTO Office, Sarai Kale Khan appeared in person.

P/W of accused Ravinder Rathi be issued for 06.04.2021.

Application u/s 451 Cr.P.C moved on behalf of applicant Joginder Kaur Lamba is pending. Same is adjourned for next date of hearing.

I have also heard argument on the application moved on behalf of applicant Abhishek Kumar for grant of interim bail.

It is argued that applicant/accused Abhishek Kumar is in J.C since 19.11.2013. By way of present application, applicant is seeking interim bail for getting treatment of his wife who is suffering from appendicitis. It is also argued that applicant has two teenage children who are dependent on the applicant for their educational expenses. The family of applicant is facing financial problem, applicant being the only bread earner in his family. It is further argued that the presence of applicant is necessary to provide financial and emotional support to his wife and family.

Application has been opposed on behalf of the State on the ground that the allegations against the applicant are direct and serious in nature. It is also argued that in case he is released on interim bail, he may jump the bail.

It is also argued that earlier co-accused Mukesh Soni was granted interim bail by Hon'ble High Court of Delhi, however, the said accused did not surrender and is now proclaimed offender.

I have considered rival submissions and perused the report of the IO.

Accused is facing trial for heinous offence of murder. The argument of Ld. State Counsel that there is every possibility that applicant may jump the bail cannot be ruled out.

Therefore, considering the facts and circumstances of the case, seriousness of the allegations against the applicant, I am not inclined to grant interim bail to the

-3-

applicant. Therefore, bail application stands dismissed.
Copy of order be given dasti.

(POORAN CHAND)
ASJ-02/West/Delhi
07.02.2022