

## GURCHARAN SINGH Vs. THE STATE

27.09.2024

Present: Sh. Devesh Kundu, ld. Proxy counsel for the petitioner.  
Sh. Abhishek Singh, ld. Counsel for R-6, 8 & 9 through V.C.  
Sh. Aakash Verma, ld. Counsel for R-7 through V.C.  
None for R-3 & 4.

Ld. Proxy counsel for the petitioner seeks pass over till  
12:00 Noon for examining the witness.

Put up at 12:00 Noon.

(SHIV KUMAR)  
District Judge-02, Court no.127,  
West Distt, Tis Hazari courts  
Delhi: 27.09.2024

at 12: 30 Noon

Present : Sh. Manmohan Sehgal, attorney of plaintiff in person.  
Sh. Abhishek Singh, ld. Counsel for R-6, 8 & 9 through V.C.

Previous cost of Rs. 2000/- has been paid by the plaintiff.  
Attorney of the plaintiff submits that his counsel is busy  
in the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi and he seeks an adjournment for  
leading PE.

Adjournment is opposed.

Ld. Counsel for appearing respondents submit that on  
the last date of hearing, last opportunity was given to the plaintiff to  
lead evidence for today's date.

Contd. 2

Case file perused.

In the present case, no witness has been examined on behalf of the plaintiff till date. The reason that the counsel of the plaintiff is busy in the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi is not a valid reason for giving adjournment as per proviso of order 17 rule 1 of CPC. However, considering the interest of justice, one last opportunity is again given to the plaintiff to lead PE subject to cost of Rs. 7,000/- to be paid the ld. Counsel for R-6, 8 & 9.

Put up for PE on 03.12.2024.

(SHIV KUMAR)  
District Judge-02,Court no.127,  
West Distt, Tis Hazari courts  
Delhi: 27.09.2024