

IN THE COURT OF Mrs. PAMELPREET GREWAL KAHAL
ADDITIONAL SESSIONS JUDGE, FAZILKA

BA-28-2026

CNR No. PBFZC000-0113-2026

Date of Institution: 05.01.2026

Date of Decision: 08.01.2026

Kulwinder Singh alias Gurpreet Singh aged about 27 years son of Sh. Gurdeep Singh, resident of village Mohkam Arayi, Tehsil Jalalabad (W), District Fazilka.

.....Applicant.

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

FIR no. 131 dated 13.09.2018

U/s 66(E)/67/67(A) of Information
Technology Act, 2000 & Section
6 of Indecent Representation of
Women (Prohibition Act) 1986.
Police Station, Sadar Jalalabad.

Present: Sh. Bharat Chhabra, Advocate for Applicant/Accused.

Sh. Vinod Kumar, Ld. Additional PP for the State.

Bail application Under Section 482 BNSS of 2023.

ORDER:

This order of mine shall dispose of the anticipatory bail application filed on behalf of above named accused.

2. In brief, the background of the matter is that the applicant-accused in connivance with other accused have uploaded the photograph of complainant on the Internet, So, the present FIR was registered regarding

uploading the photograph of the victim on the Internet, whereas separate FIR was registered for the other offences.

3. Upon notice record is produced and same is perused. Report of Ahlmad seen that this is the second bail application of the accused-applicant as first bail application was allowed vide order dated 17.01.2029.

4. Ld. Counsel for the accused has argued that applicant-accused has been falsely implicated. Earlier the applicant-accused was granted regular bail, but during investigation, he was found innocent. Now the applicant has been summoned under Section 319 Cr.P.C. With these submissions prayer for bail was made. On the other hand, Ld. Additional PP for the State has argued that the applicant-accused alongwith co-accused have committed serious offence. The allegations against the accused are serious in nature. With these submissions prayer for dismissal of bail was made.

5. I have heard the submissions of the Ld. APP assisted by learned counsel for complainant and the Ld. Counsel for the accused.

6. In the case at hand, the applicant-accused alongwith co-accused persons were named in the case. During pendency of the case, the applicant-accused was granted regular bail vide order dated 21.01.2019 by the then learned Addl. Sessions Judge, Fazilka. However, challan was not presented against the applicant-accused. During trial the applicant-accused was summoned to face trial by the learned Trial Court by allowing the application under Section 319 Cr.P.C. vide order dated 05.12.2025. Now the applicant-accused is apprehending arrest in the present case in view of his summoning made by the learned Trial Court. Earlier bail was granted in favour of the applicant-accused is still existing. In view

of the fresh summoning of the accused-applicant under Section 319 Cr.P.C, the Court is of the considered opinion that there is reasonable apprehension of arrest in the mind of applicant-accused. The case is already under trial. No recovery is to be made from the applicant-accused. The custodial interrogation of the accused is not needed. As such, the present bail application is allowed to the effect that the applicant-accused is directed to appear before the learned Trial Court/Duty Magistrate within 20 days and on his appearance, the learned Trial Court/Duty Magistrate shall release him on bail, subject to its satisfaction. Application stands allowed and disposed of accordingly. Copy of order be forwarded to the learned Trial Court. File be consigned to record room.

-Sd/-

PRONOUNCED IN OPEN COURT

Dated:-08.01.2026

Sanjeev Narang, Stenographer Gr.I

PAMELPREET GREWAL KAHAL

ADDITIONAL SESSIONS JUDGE

FAZILKA (UID No.PB0304)