

BA 28-2024

Jasandeep Singh Vs. State of Punjab

F.I.R. No 45 dated 02.09.2022
U/S 454,380,411 IPC,
P. S. Phillaur, Distt. Jalandhar

Present: Sh.Amit Bhardwaj, Advocate, counsel for the
applicant/accused Jasandeep Singh
Sh. Ricky Chodha, APP for the State.

ORDER:-

1. Heard on the bail application moved by accused/applicant Jasandeep Singh. Learned counsel for the applicant/accused argued that the accused/applicant has been falsely implicated in the present case and he has not committed any offence. It is further argued that investigation of present case has already completed and the applicant/accused is no more required for further investigation. It is prayed that Court may consider this bail application and they may be released on bail.
2. On the other hand, Ld. APP for the State has highly opposed the bail application on the ground that offences attributed to the accused are serious in nature. The accused-applicant is not entitled to the concession of bail and the same may be dismissed.
3. I have heard the Learned Counsel for the applicant/accused and Learned APP for the State and have gone through the police file.
4. Perusal of the file shows that accused is in custody since 19.06.2023. No useful purpose will be served by keeping the accused-applicant in custody rather it will be a burden on the state exchequer. Accordingly, the present bail application is allowed and accused is admitted to bail subject to his furnishing bail bonds in the sum of Rs.40,000/- with one surety in the like amount. Bail bonds and surety

bonds not furnished. Be tagged with the main file/remand papers/FIR.

Date of Order: 21.02.2024
Sunita Rani*

(Meenakshi Gupta)
Sub Divisional Judicial Magistrate
Phillaur/ UID No. PB0369