

19.02.2021

Proceedings have been held by way of physical hearing.

Present: Mr. Ashish Kumar, proxy counsel for Sh. Narinder Kalra,
counsel for plaintiff with plaintiff in person.
Mr. M.L. Bajaj, counsel for L.Rs of defendant no. 1.
None for other defendants.

Despite allowing of application under order 22 rule 4 CPC on 30.09.2015 vide which the L.Rs of defendant no. 1 were impleaded, the plaintiff has not filed amended memo of parties. He is directed to file the same on or before the next date of hearing.

An application of the plaintiff under order 22 rule 4 read with section 151 CPC and 5 of Limitation Act for impleading L.Rs of defendant no. 9 is still pending. Reply to that application has not been filed. The reply can be filed by the next date of hearing.

The plaintiff is directed to tell the court about the status of defendants no. 2 to 9.

The matter is fixed for D.E and affidavit in evidence of proposed DW Ms Meena is already on the file and counsel for the plaintiff admitted that copy of that affidavit is already available with him.

Put up on 01.04.2021 for reply/arguments on application under order 22 rule 4 CPC, comments on the status of defendant nos. 2 to 9 and for DE.

(Umed Singh Grewal)
ADJ-02, WEST
19.02.2021