

Suit No:610045/16

Indu Khurana v/s Satish Khurana & ors

06.06.2018

Present: Sh. Mayank Gupta, proxy counsel for plaintiff.
Sh. S.K Tanwar, counsel for defendant no.1 and 2.
None for remaining defendants.

Copy of the application under Order 12 Rule 6, CPC
supplied to the counsel for defendant no.1 and 2.

Counsel for the defendant no.1 and 2 submits that
application was already dismissed vide order dated 25.11.16.
However, perusal of the record shows that said order was set aside
and application was restored by the Hon'ble High Court vide order
dated 13.01.2017.

Defendants are at liberty to file reply to the application
under Order 12 Rule 6, CPC if any after supplying the copy to the
opposite party or counsel against acknowledgment in advance.

Counsel for defendant no.1 and 2 submits that he does
not want to file any reply to the application and shall straight
away argue on the application.

Hence, be listed for arguments on the application under
Order 12 Rule 6, CPC on 10.09.2018.

Sugandha Aggarwal
ADJ/West/Delhi
06.06.2018