

CS DJ 6397/18

ARUN KUMAR GOYAL Vs. SANDEEP CHAUDHARY

07.09.2024

Present: Sh. Mayank, Ld. Proxy counsel for Sh. Harish Nigam, Ld. Counsel for plaintiff.  
Plaintiff is present through VC.  
Sh. Manas Tripathi, Ld. Counsel for defendant (however defendant has already been proceeded ex-parte vide order dated 05.07.2019.)

As per report of Ahlmad, notice of the application of the plaintiff u/o 38 rule 1 CPC issued in terms of order dated 01.06.2024 to the defendant has not been received back, however, memo of appearance has been filed on behalf of defendant. Same is taken on record. Ld. Counsel for defendant submits that he has not received the copy of the application u/o 38 Rule 1 CPC. Ld. Proxy counsel for plaintiff submits that he will supply the same during course of the day to Ld. Counsel for the defendant. Ld. Counsel for defendant is directed to file reply to the aforesaid application within two weeks with advance copy to Ld. Counsel for plaintiff.

At this stage, an adjournment is sought on behalf of the plaintiff stating that he is not well today and even his counsel is not available today.

At his request, matter is adjourned for purpose fixed for 27.11.2024. The aforesaid application shall also be considered on the next date.

(Abhishek Srivastava)  
District Judge-05,  
Central, THC, Delhi  
07.09.2024(sr)