

CS DJ 6397/18

ARUN KUMAR GOYAL Vs. SANDEEP CHAUDHARY

09.01.2026

Present: Sh. Harish Nigam, Ld. Counsel for plaintiff alongwith plaintiff in person.
None for defendant (defendant has already been proceeded ex parte vide order dated 05.07.2019).

Perusal of record reveals that when the suit was at the stage of final arguments, plaintiff has moved an application under Order 6 Rule 17 r/w Section 151 CPC.

Despite the fact that the defendant has already been proceeded ex parte, this Court has issued notice of the aforesaid application of the plaintiff to the defendant vide order dated 15.11.2025.

As per report of Ahlmad, notice of aforesaid application of the plaintiff issued to the defendant at his Aligarh address has not been received back. Ahlmad has further reported that the plaintiff has not filed the PF for Delhi address of the defendant.

Ld. Counsel for the plaintiff submits that since the defendant is no more residing at the Delhi address, he has not filed the PF for service on the said address.

Considering the fact that this suit is pending since 2018 and the defendant has already been proceeded ex parte vide order dated 05.07.2019, in view of the submissions made in the application, the aforesaid application of the plaintiff is allowed. Proposed amended memo of parties and proposed amended plaint are taken on record.

Put up for PE on **24.02.2026**.

(Abhishek Srivastava)
District Judge-05,
Central, THC, Delhi
09.01.2026(kd)