

HARPAL SINGH Vs. THE STATE

24.07.2025

Present: Sh. G.S. Thakur, Ld counsel for the petitioner along with SPA holder of the petitioner, Sh. Surender Singh.
Ms. Anjali Madan, Ld proxy counsel for the Lrs of respondent no. 2 and respondent no. 3.

Ld proxy counsel for the Lrs of respondent no. 2 and respondent no. 3. submits that she will file Vakaltnama on behalf of Lrs of respondent no. 2 before the NDOH.

As per report of Ahlmad, PF has not been filed by the petitioner for issuance of notice of the petition to respondent no. 5 to 7 and Lrs of respondent no. 2.

Ld counsel for the petitioner submits that he has already sent the notices to respondent no. 5 to 7 and Lrs of respondent no. 2 through speed post.

No affidavit regarding serving of notices upon respondent no. 5 to 7 and Lrs of respondent no. 2 along with original postal receipts and tracking Id with certificate Under Section 65B of Indian Evidence Act have been filed by the petitioner, on the e-portal of this court.

Since, Ld counsel has appeared on behalf of Lrs of respondent no. 2, there is no need to issue fresh notice to the Lrs of R-2.

Last opportunity is given to the petitioner for filing PF/RC for the purpose of issuance of notice of the petition to respondent no. 5 to respondent no. 7.

Put up for further proceedings on **04.11.2025.**

(SHIV KUMAR)
DJ-02 (W)THC:Delhi
24.07.2025