

SC 184/2026

STATE Vs. RANJEET MEHRA ALIAS KANNU AND ANR.

FIR no. 275/2025

PS Crime Branch-West Delhi

18.04.2026

This is an application u/s 483 of BNSS filed on behalf of the applicant/accused Sanjana for grant of bail.

Pr: Sh. Subhash Chauhan, Ld. Chief PP for the State.
Ld. Counsel for the applicant/accused.
SI Deepak Kumar on behalf of PS Crime Branch.

File perused. Heard.

Reply filed on behalf of the IO. Copy supplied. Arguments heard.

It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the accused that the applicant/accused is innocent and has been falsely implicated in the present case. It is further submitted that the allegations against the accused/applicant are that she came to receive the delivery of contraband from co-accused Ranjeet Mehra @ Kanny and co-accused Ranjeet Mehra @ Kanny handed over black polythene to the applicant. Ld. Counsel contended that the alleged recovery of 207 Grams of Heroin/Smack is concocted and planted one. Ld. Counsel further contends that even if the version of the prosecution regarding the alleged recovery from the applicant/accused is believed to be true, even then the alleged recovery is of intermediate quantity, hence, there is no bar u/s 37 of NDPS Act qua the applicant. It is further submitted that the

Contd....

investigation has already been completed and chargesheet has already been filed in the present case. Ld. Counsel further submitted that the applicant/accused is no more required for further investigation and with these submissions, prayer is made for grant of regular bail.

On the other hand, Ld. Chief P. P. for the state has strongly opposed the present bail application on the ground that intermediate quantity of 207 grams of Heroin/Smack was recovered from the applicant and commercial quantity of Heroin/Smack was recovered from the main accused in the present case. Ld. Chief PP further argued that the allegations against the accused/applicant are serious in nature and possibility of tempering of evidence & influencing of witness & fleeing away from the justice cannot be ruled out in case applicant/accused is admitted on bail. Ld. Chief P.P has prayed for the dismissal of the present bail application of the accused.

Brief facts of the case are that on 28.09.2025, accused/applicant along with co-accused were apprehended and 207 grams of Heroin/smack was recovered from the possession of applicant/accused. Admittedly, the present case is registered upon the investigation carried out on the basis of receiving of a secret information. It is relevant to mention here that the name of the applicant/accused is neither mentioned nor disclosed in the said secret information. The allegations against the applicant/

Contd....

accused are that she came at the alleged spot and received intermediate quantity of contraband from the accused Ranjeet Mehra @ Kannu. Admittedly, no financial connectivity of the accused/applicant is shown with the main accused for the alleged purchase of the contraband of the intermediate quantity by the accused/applicant from the main accused Ranjeet Mehra @ Kannu. It is well settled law that the contraband recovered from the one accused cannot be clubbed with the contraband recovered from another co-accused. The amount of contraband recovered from the applicant/accused in the present case is of intermediate quantity, therefore, bar u/s 37 of NDPS is not made out in the present case. Applicant is in custody since 28.09.2025. Investigation of the case has already been completed and chargesheet has already been filed.

Considering the facts of the case and keeping in view the submissions & period of incarceration, applicant/ accused is admitted to bail on furnishing bail bond in a sum of ₹25,000/- with one surety of the like amount and subject to the following conditions :

1. That the applicant shall provide his mobile number to the Investigating Officer and intimate about any change.
2. That the accused will not jump the bail and will appear in the court regularly.

Contd....

3. That accused shall not indulge into similar offence or any other offence in the event of release on bail.
4. That accused shall not tamper/influence any evidence/witness in any manner.
5. That in case of change of residential address, accused shall intimate the court about the same within a week.

Nothing stated hereinabove shall tantamount to be an expression of final opinion on the merits of the case. With above observations, application stands disposed off accordingly.

Copy of the order be given dasti, as prayed for.

Copy of the order be also sent to the concerned Jail Superintendent.

(SATVIR SINGH LAMBA)
ASJ/SPECIAL JUDGE (NDPS),
WEST DISTRICT, DELHI/18.04.2026