

2 Contempt Petition 10/19
VED PRAKASH Vs. RADHEY SHYAM AND ANR

15.05.2026

Present: Sh. A.N. Aggarwal and Ms. Sonia, Ld. Counsel for
Petitioner alongwith the Wife of the Petitioner.
Sh. Jitesh Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for Respondent no.1 to
5.

Issue warrants of attachment of the suit property, which is undivided, but definitely, the defendant herein in contempt/disobedience, is the co-owner thereof, returnable for **21.08.2026**.

Clearly, the direction contained in para 6(c) of the order dated 25.04.2024, has not been complied with. Neither the defendant has been able to satisfy the court that what reasonable steps have been taken by him for achieving the said end.

In these circumstances, this court is satisfied that the direction contained in order dated 25.04.2024, has not been complied with and defendant is in breach thereof. Therefore, in exercise of power under Order 39 Rule 2A of CPC, his property is directed to be attached i.e., his share in the suit property.

Since the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi has already stayed the show cause notice of civil imprisonment, the power of civil imprisonment cannot be exercised at this stage.

Warrants of attachment be affixed on the suit property.

Report be filed by the Receiver and copy of this order be given dasti to the counsel for the petitioner for service on the Receiver.

List before the Ld. ACJ for appointment of Bailiff on **08.07.2026**.

(HARJYOT SINGH BHALLA)
DJ-03, SOUTH-WEST, DWARKA
NEW DELHI/15.05.2026