

M/S GOLDEN BUILDCON PVT LTD Vs. MUKHTIAR SINGH

05.06.2026

Present: Sh. Abhilash Vashisht and Sh. Sagar Gill, Ld. Counsel for DH.
Sh. Naveen Tyagi, Ld. Counsel for JD through VC.
Sh. Rajat Agnihotri, Ld. Counsel for Assignee.
Sh. K.C. Joshi, Ld. Counsel for Intervenor.

There are two aspects which require consideration in the present case.

Firstly, whether the decree can be executed or not executed, since the amount has been deposited by a third party on behalf of the DH and DH has claimed assignment in favour of a third party in the proceedings. The JD is opposing the assignment. An application under Order 21 Rule 16 of CPC was filed on behalf of the JD.

Thereafter, during the pendency of the said application, an intervention application was filed by one Sh. Jai Dev Sehrawat claiming to be 50% share holder in the DH company and he also raised objections to the assignment of the decree.

Arguments were heard on the said intervention application moved by one Sh. Jai Dev Sehrawat. However, in case the said application is decided, it may pre-judge the application under Order 21 Rule 16 of CPC, moved on behalf of the JD, as well.

In these circumstances, it is deemed appropriate that the intervention application cannot be disposed off or decided independently, but has to be heard and decided alongwith the application under Order 21 Rule 16 of CPC filed on behalf of the JD.

Submissions are, therefore, required to be heard on the said application as well.

Be listed for arguments on **03.08.2026**.

(HARJYOT SINGH BHALLA)
DJ-03, SOUTH-WEST, DWARKA
NEW DELHI/05.06.2026