

CS No.872/2017
Kuldeep Panwar vs IB Singh Parihar & Ors.

24.10.2017

Present: Sh. Hanish Sehrawat, counsel for the plaintiff.
Sh. Gajender singh, counsel for defendant no.3.
Sh. Suresh Bhansali, counsel for defendant nos.4
&5.

Fresh vakalatnama filed on behalf of defendant no.3 and seeks time to file written statement and reply to application under Order 39 Rule 1 & 2 CPC.

Let the same be filed within 15 days from today under advance copy thereof to opposite party.

Written statement on behalf of defendant nos.4 & 5 filed today along with reply to application under under Order 39 Rule 1 & 2 CPC and certain documents and vakalatnama. Copy given.

As per office report, summons sent to defendant no.1 received back after due service. No one is present on behalf of defendant no.1. It is 12.01 p.m. **Defendant no.1 is proceeded ex parte.**

As per office report, summons sent to defendant no.2 received back with the report 'Refusal'. Service on defendant no.2 is deemed to be due service. No one is

present on behalf of defendant no.2. It is
12.01p.m. **Defendant no.2 is proceeded exparte.**

Service in the matter is complete.

Now to come up for written statement on behalf of defendant
no.3, replication if any, admission/denial of documents,
framing of issues and for exploring the possibility of
settlement if any on 09.11.2017.

(MOHD. FARRUKH)
ADJ (SW)/PILOT COURT/ DWARKA COURTS(an)
24.10.2017