

CS DJ 57783/16

VEENA RANI Vs. SURENDER KUMAR AND ORS

31.10.2022

Present: Plaintiff in person with husband namely Sh. Surya Prakash.
Sh. Shaurya Pushpam, Ld. Counsel for the defendants with
defendant No.1 in person.

The plaintiff states that the wife of the ld. Counsel for the plaintiff has expired and that is why, she requires some more time to engage a new Advocate.

Request for adjournment is opposed.

Even on the last date of hearing, on the very same ground and at the request of the plaintiff, the matter was adjourned for today. The situation is the same as on date as well.

The plaintiff does not deserve any leniency, yet, in the interest of justice, the plaintiff is once again granted the final opportunity to engage some new Advocate and to carry out the cross-examination of DW-1 on the next date failing which no further opportunity shall be given in any of the circumstances.

Now, to come up on **09.01.2023**.

(Raj Kumar)
ADJ-02/ North/ Rohini Court
Delhi/31.10.2022