

3CS DJ ADJ1056/24PUSHPA Vs. MANOJ KUMAR

09.04.2025

Present: Sh Vishnu and Nishant, Ld. counsels for plaintiff alongwith plaintiff.
Sh Vicky, proxy counsel for Sh Rohit Sharma, Ld. counsel for
defendant No. 2.
Defendant No. 1 in person.

WS not filed by defendant No. 1 despite direction. Hence, right to file the same stands closed. Defendant No. 1 who is present in the court submits that he has no objection to the claim made by the plaintiff and ready to vacate the suit premises as and when directed by this court. Separate statement is recorded to this effect.

WS not served to the counsel for plaintiff in terms of last order by defendant No. 2. Let same be served during the course of day.

At this stage, Ld. counsel for plaintiff submits that he is not inclined to file replication. Hence, issues may be framed.

Submissions heard. Record perused.

Perusal of written statement as filed by defendant No. 2 reveals that same is mere denial of the contents of the plaint. Hence, clarification required for judgment on admission under Order XII Rule 6 CPC.

Since, main counsel for defendant No. 2 is not available today, list this matter for argument under Order XII Rule 6 CPC on **03.05.2025**.

SHILPI M JAIN
DISTRICT JUDGE-05 (SW)
DWARKA COURTS
NEW DELHI/09.04.2025(pk)