

BAIL MATTER NO. 1316/2026
State Vs. RAVI SHARMA
FIR No. 295/2026
PS : NAJAFGARH
U/s : 25 ARMS ACT

21.07.2026

Present: Sh.Anirudh Yadav, Ld Counsel for the applicant/
accused
Shri Girish Kumar Manhas, Ld. Addl. PP for State.
IO HC Harinder Singh in person

*Vide this order, I shall dispose of the application
filed on behalf of applicant/accused Ravi Sharma under Section
483 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, seeking grant
of regular bail.*

Reply to the application has been filed by the Investigating Officer. The same is taken on record. Copy has been supplied to Ld counsel for the applicant.

Before adverting to the grounds taken by the accused/applicant in his bail application, it is imperative for this court to understand the case of the prosecution. *Briefly stating*, the case of the prosecution is that on 28.06.2026, HC Jitender Singh and Ct. Durga Prasad were on patrolling duty when they apprehended the applicant. It is further the case of the prosecution that one loaded pistol was allegedly recovered from his possession and on unloading the same, it was found to contain ten live cartridges and on the complaint of HC Jitender Singh, the present FIR was registered and the applicant was arrested. It is further the case of the prosecution that during interrogation, the applicant disclosed that he had obtained the firearm and ammunition from one person named Raju, stated to be residing in Palwal, Haryana,

with whom he had come in contact through the Signal application. It is further the case of the prosecution that the identity and complete address of the alleged supplier are yet to be ascertained.

Ld Counsel for the applicant / accused has submitted that applicant has been falsely implicated in the present case and that the alleged pistol and cartridges have been planted upon him. It is further submitted that the applicant was arrested on 28.06.2026 and has remained in custody since then. Ld counsel for the applicant further submits that on 28.06.2026, the applicant had left his residence to purchase certain articles in connection with a family function and while he was proceeding towards Najafgarh market, he was allegedly picked up by officials of PS Najafgarh and taken to the police station. It is further submitted that the applicant was asked to identify one person named Naveen, who was already in police custody, but the applicant expressed that he did not know him. It is alleged that instead of releasing the applicant, the police falsely implicated him in the present case by showing recovery of a pistol and cartridges from his possession. Ld counsel further submits that no independent public witness was joined at the time of the alleged recovery and the prosecution case is based entirely upon the statements of police officials. It is also submitted that the applicant did not make any disclosure regarding a person named Raju or procurement of the firearm through the Signal application. It is further submitted that investigation qua the applicant is substantially complete and the alleged weapon and cartridges have already been seized and no further recovery is to be effected from him. Ld counsel for the accused / applicant further submits that the applicant is a permanent resident of Delhi and there is no likelihood

of his absconding. It is further submitted by Ld Counsel for the applicant / accused that the applicant undertakes to join the investigation whenever directed and not to contact any prosecution witness and to abide by all conditions imposed by the Court. *Accordingly, it is prayed that the applicant be released on bail.*

Conversely, Ld. Addl. PP for the State, assisted by the IO, has opposed the bail application of the applicant/accused. Ld Addl. PP for the State has referred to the previous involvements of the applicant in cases relating to the Arms Act, attempt to murder, theft and extortion. It is contended that if released on bail, the applicant may abscond, repeat similar offences or hamper the investigation concerning the alleged source of the firearm. *Accordingly, it is prayed that the bail application of the applicant be dismissed.*

I have heard the submissions of the Ld. Counsel for the accused/applicant and the Ld. Addl. PP for the State as well as the IO. Further, I have also carefully perused the material on record.

No doubt that the allegations against the applicant are serious. However, seriousness of the allegation, by itself, cannot be the sole ground for continued detention. In the present case, the applicant has been in custody since 28.06.2026. The alleged pistol, ten live cartridges and other relevant articles have already been recovered and seized. Further no further recovery from or at the instance of the applicant has been pointed out by the Prosecution before this court. So far as the investigation regarding the alleged source of the firearm is concerned, the same can be continued independently. Further the aspect of the previous involvements of

the applicant has also been considered. Apparently, the same also cannot be justify indefinite pre-trial detention, particularly when the alleged recovery has already been effected and the applicant's further custodial interrogation is not shown to be necessary. Furthermore, the trial is likely to take time and the presence of the applicant during investigation and trial can be secured by imposing appropriate conditions.

Therefore, considering the totality of the facts and circumstances of the present case, the bail application of the applicant/ accused is allowed. Applicant/accused Ravi Sharma is admitted to regular bail on furnishing a personal bond in the sum of ₹25,000/- with one surety in the like amount to the satisfaction of the learned Trial Court/Duty Magistrate concerned/ Link Court, subject to the following conditions:

- a. The applicant shall join the investigation as and when directed by the IO and shall cooperate with the investigation.*
- b. The applicant shall not directly or indirectly contact, threaten, induce or influence any prosecution witness.*
- c. The applicant shall not contact the alleged supplier or any other person suspected to be connected with the alleged supply of the firearm.*
- d. The applicant shall not tamper with the evidence or obstruct the investigation in any manner.*
- e. The applicant shall furnish his current residential address and mobile number to the IO and shall keep the mobile number operational.*
- f. The applicant shall not change his residential address or mobile number without prior intimation to the IO and the Court concerned.*

- g. The applicant shall not leave the country without prior permission of the Court concerned.*
- h. The applicant shall appear before the learned Trial Court on every date of hearing unless exempted in accordance with law.*
- i. The applicant shall not indulge in any offence of a similar nature during the pendency of the proceedings.*

Needless to say that in case of violation of any of the aforesaid conditions by the applicant/ accused, the State / IO shall be at liberty to seek cancellation of bail of the applicant/ accused in accordance with law.

Nothing stated herein shall be construed as an expression on the merits of the case. **The application stands disposed of accordingly.**

Copy of this Order be given to the Ld. Counsel for the accused/applicant.

(Ravinder Singh - II)
ASJ-05/South-West District,
Dwarka/ Delhi/21.07.2026