

CS (Comm) No. 391/2026

M/s. Jindal Sanitaryware Pvt. Ltd.

Vs.

Sanjeev Sharma

13.08.2026

Present : Both the parties with counsels.

1. Defendant has engaged a new counsel, who has filed vakalatnama.

2. The Ld. Local Commissioner has, in her order dated 06.08.2026, mentioned that her fees was not paid by the parties. I have seen the order passed by Ld. Predecessor Judge regarding the terms of engagement of Ld. Local Commissioner.

3. I am informed that on the last date of hearing i.e. 06.08.2026 before the Ld. LC, chief examination of the plaintiff was recorded. Since the defendant had sought adjournment, the cross-examination could not be recorded.

4. I have been through the whatsapp chats between the parties, Ld. counsel for the plaintiff and Ld. LC. From the same, I am of the view that defendant was not in a position to cross-examine the witness and he had intimated regarding the adjournment two days before the date of hearing. Defendant incurred no liability to pay the fees since the chief examination of the plaintiff was recorded. As the proceedings took place for about one hour, the Ld. LC will be entitled to fees of Rs. 5,000/- for that date, which the plaintiff is directed to pay to Ld. LC.

5. The date fixed for final arguments on 25.08.2026 is cancelled.

6. It is directed that the evidence shall be concluded before Ld. Local Commissioner positively before the next date of hearing.

7. Ld. Counsel for the plaintiff submits that the plaintiff wants to examine a witness from GST. An application to that effect already stands allowed. Let the witness be summoned for the date to be fixed before Ld. Local Commissioner as and when convenient to both the parties and the Ld. Local Commissioner.

8. Put up for final arguments on **16.09.2026**.

(DR. SAVITRI)
District Judge (Commercial Court)-08
Central, Tis Hazari Courts, Delhi

Dated: 13.08.2026/ypc