

6 EX CIVIL 767/19
ROHTAS SINGH Vs. DAYA NAND TYAGI

13.05.2026

Present: Sh. Sonu Saini, Ld. Counsel for DH through VC.
Ld. Counsel for JD through VC.
JD is absent.

This is another classic case which shows why execution petitions remain pending for years and primarily in the present case, it is the conduct of the JD, which is responsible for the same.

Although, the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India has laid down that all the execution petitions must be disposed off within a period of 6 months, the present execution petition is pending since the year 2019.

The JD was examined in court by my Ld. Predecessor on 20.05.2022, where he had disclosed that he had lands and he was selling them and had taken some money as well, but thereafter, the JD stopped appearing, as also, has not made any payment. NBWs were issued against the JD, which have remained unexecuted for a considerable period.

The JD is trying to play hide and seek with the court and is trying to hoodwink the process of law, he is not entitled to any further indulgence.

Issue NBWs against the JD on filing of PF, to be executed through DCP concerned, returnable for **03.06.2026**.

(HARJYOT SINGH BHALLA)
DJ-03, SOUTH-WEST, DWARKA
NEW DELHI/13.05.2026