

08.06.2026

Fresh Sessions case received by way of assignment after committal. It be checked and registered.

Present: Sh. Vijender Singh Kharb, Ld. Addl.PP for the State.
All accused produced from JC.
Ms. Anjali, Ld. counsel for accused Shubham.
Sh. Rajnikant Mishra, Ld. counsel for accused Omkar and Dharmender.

Ld. counsels for accused persons have submitted that though they were supplied pen drive on 03.06.2026, however, they were not given any time to scrutinize the said pen drive or the documents. They have further submitted that on opening the said pen drive, it is found that one of the video out of two videos is not opening. Ld. counsels for all accused persons has further submitted that they were not given time to scrutinize the documents by Ld. JMFC.

Heard. Record perused.

The perusal of order dated 03.06.2026 reveals that the copy of charge-sheet along with pen drive was supplied on that day and the matter was committed and placed before Ld. Principal District & Sessions Judge on the same date without giving any time for scrutiny of documents to accused persons. Thus, there is merit in the submissions made by Ld. counsels for accused persons.

It is pertinent to note that supplying the copies under Sections 230/231 BNSS (corresponding Sections 207/208 Cr.PC) is not an empty formality. The provisions are to be complied with *stricto sensu*. Ld. JMFC is directed to comply with the provisions in letter and spirit and is directed to give sufficient time for the scrutiny of the documents in future.

In order to save the time, **let the concerned IO be called for NDOH in order to provide the fresh pen drive to the accused persons.**

Put up for further proceedings on 24.07.2026.

Copy of this order be sent to concerned Ld. JMFC to take note of the same.

(Vandana Jain)
ASJ-03 & Special Judge (Companies Act)
Dwarka Courts (SW)/ND/08.06.2026