

**IN THE COURT OF SH.PREM KUMAR,ADDL. SESSIONS
JUDGE, GURDASPUR(UID No.PB0451)**

**Bail Application No. 354/2017
Date of Instn. : 01/12/2017
CIS No. BA/2701/2017
CNR No. PBGD01-007132-2017
Date of order : 13/12/2017**

Rani aged about 46 years w/o Satnam, R/o Deeda Sansian, Tehsil and
District Gurdaspur.

..Applicant-accused

Versus

State

..Respondent.

FIR No.83 Dated 20/05/2017
U/S 61-1-14 of Punjab Excise Act
Police Station Dinanagar

Ist Bail application U/s 438 Cr.P.C.

Present: Sh.Narinder Kumar, Advocate for applicant.
Sh.Vikram Kashyap, Addl.P.P for State.

ORDER:

1. Bail application put up before me as learned Presiding Officer is availing earned leave.
2. This order of mine shall dispose of bail application moved under Section 438 Cr.P.C. by applicant-accused Rani in the above mentioned FIR.
3. Upon notice of this bail application, learned Addl.P.P for State appeared on behalf of State and opposed the bail application. Police record was also summoned.
4. The present case was registered against the applicant/accused on the allegations that on 20/05/2017 on receiving

secret information that applicant/accused is habitual of distilling and selling illicit liquor, raid was conducted at her house, but she ran away from the spot noticing the police party and on search of her house, a Can plastic containing 20 bottles of illicit liquor was recovered.

5. Ld.counsel for applicant has argued that applicant is innocent and has not committed any offence. He contended that the applicant/accused has been falsely implicated. He contended that the police raided the house of applicant when she was out of station and the police told the family members of applicant that a case has been registered against her at Police Station Dinanagar. In the end, he prayed that the applicant/accused be granted anticipatory bail.

6. On the other hand, learned Addl.P.P for State opposed the bail application on the ground of gravity of offence.

7. Heard.

Record perused. As per allegations the applicant/accused ran away from the spot. The recovery has already been effected. Custodial interrogation of applicant/accused is not required. So, considering all these facts and circumstances, without commenting anything on merits of the case, I find it a fit case where benefit of interim bail can be given to the applicant/accused Rani, and as such applicant/accused is granted interim anticipatory bail. The applicant is directed to surrender before the Investigating/Arresting Officer/SHO of Police Station Dinanagar to join the investigation within seven days from today and the Investigating Officer/Arresting Officer shall admit the applicant on

police bail to its own satisfaction. A copy of this order be sent to the Investigating officer/SHO of Police Station Dinanagar. The report of the Investigating Officer be called for 06/01/2018 as to whether accused/applicant has joined the investigation or not.

Pronounced in open Court:

Dt: 13/12/2017

Rajiv Sharma,

Stenographer-I

(Prem Kumar)

Addl.Sessions Judge,

Gurdaspur.(UID No.PB0451)

(Duty)