

**IN THE COURT OF SUPREET KAUR, PCS
SUB DIVISIONAL JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE, PHAGWARA.
(UID PB-0430)**

CNR No. PBKPA10002302026

Case No. BA/27/2026



Presented on : 18-02-2026

Registered on : 18-02-2026

Decided on : 26-02-2026

State

versus

Manmeet Singh, S/o Joginder Ram, R/o ChahalKalan, PS
Mukhandpur, SBS Nagar- at present- R/o Nagjinder Press Walia,
Backside Amar Palace, Kothran Road, Phagwara, District Kapurthala.

.....Accused

FIR No.40 dated 14.02.2026

U/s 74, 351(1), 140(3), 62, 126(2), 3 (5)
of BNS

P.S. City, Phagwara, District Kapurthala.

Present:- APP for the State.
Sh. Sukhwinder Singh, Advocate for accused/applicant.

Order

1. Heard on bail application of applicant/accused Manmeet Singh, who is in custody for commission of offences u/s 74, 351(1), 140(3), 62, 126(2), 3 (5) of BNS, PS City, Phagwara.

2. Counsel for the applicant/accused has argued that the applicant/accused is in custody since 14.02.2026. The accused/applicant has been falsely implicated in this case. The accused/applicant has not committed any offence. He verbally argued that though the FIR has been registered under section 74, 140(3) but the contents of the FIR do not reflect any allegations with regard to

the alleged offences. He further argued that as per complainant Manmeet Singh used to harass her daughter to force her to marry him from last one and half year but the allegations are totally false as if accused had done so then why no action was taken against him earlier. He argued that the entire story of the prosecution is false just to implicate the accused in this false FIR. It is further averred that trial of the case is likely to take enough time for the final conclusion and no purpose be served by keeping him behind the bar. The accused/applicant undertake to abide by all the terms and conditions as imposed by the Court. Lastly, prayed for allowing the bail application.

3. On the other hand Ld.APP for the State opposed the bail application submitting that the offence complained of is serious in nature and bail application may be dismissed.

4. I have heard the contentions of Ld. counsel for the accused/applicant as well as Ld. APP for the State. Statement of ASI Jaswant Singh perused who stated that as per record, no bail application of accused Manmeet Singh is pending or decided in this FIR by any court of law. Further, neither any offence is enhanced nor reduced qua the accused in the present FIR. Perusal of the remand papers reveals that accused/applicant is in custody since the date of his arrest i.e. 14.02.2026. At this stage without commenting upon the merits of the case, it may be observed that rival contentions raised by both the sides involve debatable questions of fact which can only be adjudicated after the parties lead their evidence during the course of trial. Conclusion of trial will take sufficient time. Therefore no useful purpose will be served by detaining accused/applicant in custody except having a burden on the state exchequer. As such, accused is entitled to concession of bail. Hence, the applicant/accused Manmeet Singh is ordered to be released on bail on furnishing by his bail bonds in the sum of Rs.75,000/- along with one surety in the like amount

subject to following conditions:-

- 1 The accused shall not leave the country without the prior permission of the court.
- 2 He will not tamper with the evidence in any manner.
- 3 Accused and surety shall be under obligation to intimate to the court, if there is any change in their addresses.
- 4 The accused shall be responsible to appear to this court on each every date of hearing unless otherwise directed.

Application disposed off accordingly. Bail bonds not furnished.

Pronounced in the Court:

Dated:26.02.2026

(Lavneet)

(Directly Dictated on Computer)

(Supreet Kaur)

Sub Divisional Judicial Magistrate,
Phagwara (UID PB-0430)