

REMP/8271/2026

STATE OF HARYANA Vs ABHISHEK MEENA

FIR No. 209 dated 05.05.2026

Under-sections :318 (4), 61 (2) of BNS

Police Station : Cyber Crime, NIT, Faridabad.

Present: Shri Sunil Kumar, Ld. APP for the State
Shri Gaurav Saini, Advocate for applicant-accused *Abhishek Meena*.

ORDER:

This order shall dispose of the 1st bail application under section 480 of the Bhartiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (*hereinafter referred to as BNSS, 2023*) moved on behalf of the applicant-accused namely, *Abhishek Meena*, for the commission of offence punishable under Sections 318 (4), 61 (2) of Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 (*hereinafter referred to as BNS*), in case arising out of FIR No.168 dated 15.10.2024.

2. Reply to the bail application filed. Learned APP for the State argued that the allegations against the accused person are serious in nature and accordingly, he is not entitled for concession of bail. Accordingly, a prayer for dismissal of the bail application has been made.

3. Learned defence counsel on behalf of applicant-accused has argued that applicant-accused is innocent and been falsely implicated in the present case. Applicant-accused is in judicial custody since 13.07.2026. It was further argued that applicant-accused is not required for his custodial interrogation. It was further argued that the applicant-accused had no criminal antecedents. It was further argued that the challan is yet to be filed by the prosecution against the applicant-accused,

REMP/8271/2026

STATE OF HARYANA Vs ABHISHEK MEENA

and, thereafter the trial shall commence. With these submission prayer was made to allow the present application.

4. *Per contra*, learned Assistant Public prosecutor has vehemently opposed the said application on the ground that the applicant-accused along with co-accused persons had played active role in defrauding the complainant with huge amount of money. There is specific role attributable to the applicant-accused and there is every likelihood that the accused might abscond from trial and tamper with the evidence in case, they are enlarged on bail. Therefore, it was prayed that keeping in view the seriousness and gravity of the offence in question. The bail application of applicant-accused be dismissed.

5. I have given thoughtful consideration to the arguments advanced by learned defence counsel and learned Assistant Public Prosecutor. Case file carefully perused.

6. It is the case of the prosecution that the present FIR case has been registered upon the complaint moved by complainant- Sneha daughter of Sanjay Kumar. She had seen an advertisement on Instagram offering work from home. After clicking the link provided in the advertisement, she was contracted through Instagram and thereafter through whatsapp number. She was offered a project of writing a novel for seven days against a salary of Rs. 25,000/- On the pretext of registration, delivery tax, GST Tax, account verification etc. the

REMP/8271/2026

STATE OF HARYANA Vs ABHISHEK MEENA

fraudsters induced her to make various payments through the scanners/QR codes provided by them. In this manner, a total amount of Rs. 47,594.01/- was allegedly fraudulently obtained from the complainant. Upon this complaint, investigation was swung into motion and details of bank accounts were obtained in which the alleged amount was deposited. During investigation, it was revealed that applicant-accused is the main accused in the present case and, in connivance with co-accused Balram Prajapat, used the mobile phone of co-accused Balram Prajapat for carrying out the cyber fraud upon the complainant. On the basis of said disclosure, applicant-accused was arrested. It has been submitted that the applicant-accused in collusion with co-accused persons, had committed act of well planned cyber fraud.

7. The on-line frauds are increasing day by day these days which is also causing loss to the national economy. The ***Hon'ble Punjab & Haryana High Court in Sumit Nandwani Versus State of Haryana, 2024 Livelaw (P & H) 242 at para no.4 and 5*** has observed that Cyber Crime has been affecting people across the Nation, irrespective of religion, region, education or class. Newspapers, Magazines, YouTube Channels, and social media are brimming with the ordeals of an unaccountable number of innocent victims of Cyber Crime, and these reports cannot be brushed aside as agendas. Leaving uncovered milk in a cattery and threatening cats with a cage will only boil the people's rage.

REMP/8271/2026

STATE OF HARYANA Vs ABHISHEK MEENA

8. The Hon'ble Punjab & Haryana High Court, at Chandigarh, in ***Sarafuddin Ayub Sheikh Versus State of Haryana, CRM-M-42544-2025, DOD 20.11.2025*** has held that Cyber Fraud constitutes a sui generis transgression, demanding categorical exclusion from judicial indulgence in bail matters, given its capacity to erode public trust in the digital economy and destabilizes financial Eco-system. That Cyber Crime, by design, thrives on speed, deception and digital manipulation. Calling Cyber Fraud 'a contemporary felony that mandates denial of regular bail', the Hon'ble Court stressed that judicial responses that are calibrated, firm and deterrent, resistant to the evolving ingenuity of offenders, were required.

9. The Hon'ble High Court of Punjab & Haryana in case titled ***'Neeraj Sharma Vs. State of Haryana' 2026 PHHC 018804*** has held that :-
 "14. Cyber offences, particularly those involving inducement to invest through digital platforms, creation of fictitious online identities and siphoning of large amounts of money through structured banking channels, are required to be dealt with sternly. The increasing sophistication of such crimes poses a grave threat to public confidence in financial institutions and digital platforms and cannot be reduced to a matter of private settlement. In an era where commerce and governance are increasingly digitalized and interlinked, the ramifications of such offences extend beyond the immediate parties and may generate cascading consequences across sectors. The erosion of trust in financial systems and technological frameworks poses a serious threat to economic order and public welfare.....xxxxxx.

REMP/8271/2026

STATE OF HARYANA Vs ABHISHEK MEENA

10. Since, complainant has been defrauded of Rs. 47594.01/- by the accused person along-with co-accused persons in a well planned cyber fraud. The applicant-accused was arrested. The investigation discloses specific role attributable to the accused-applicant. The accused stands booked under the provision of Sections 318 (4), 61 (2) of BNS. The allegations are serious in nature. There is every likelihood of accused absconding from trial in case he is granted the concession of bail and might tamper with the evidence. Further, challan in the present case qua applicant-accused has not been filed. In case, accused-applicant is granted the concession of bail, the might abscond from trial and tamper with evidence. Therefore, under these circumstances, this Court is of the view that the applicant-accused is not entitled to grant concession of bail. Accordingly, 1st bail application of applicant-accused ***Abhishek Meena*** stands ***dismissed***.

Yogesh

Vandana Dhillon
JMFC, Faridabad, 10.08.2026
(UID NO. HR-0462)

REMP/8271/2026

STATE OF HARYANA Vs ABHISHEK MEENA

FIR No. 209 dated 05.05.2026

Under-sections :318 (4), 61 (2) of BNS

Police Station : Cyber Crime, NIT, Faridabad.

Present: Shri Sunil Kumar, Ld. APP for the State
Shri Gaurav Saini, Advocate for applicant-accused *Abhishek Meena*.

Arguments on the bail application of applicant-accused heard. Vide separate orders of even date, the present bail application of the applicant-accused *Abhishek Meena* stands ***dismissed***. Application in hand stands ***disposed of***.

Now, file be put up on **24.08.2026**, date which is already fixed.

Date of Order:
10.08.2026

Vandana Dhillon
JMFC, Faridabad, 10.08.2026
(UID NO. HR-00462)

Yogesh